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ABSTRACT
A field trial was carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate during the two successive winter seasons 2010/2011 and 2011/2012. The aim of the work was to assess the effect of irrigation lengths on barseem yield and its water parameters. Five irrigation lengths were examined; 100% of strip length (S.L) as control (Trt. A), 95% (Trt. B), 90% S.L(Trt. C), 85% S.L(Trt. D) and 80% S.L (Trt. E). Average of seasonal water applied were, in descending order as follow: A(2615.0) > B (2507.7) > C(2409.0) > D(2330.5) > E(2215.1) m³ fed⁻¹. Mean values of water saving in the two growing seasons comparing with the control 107.4, 206.0, 284.5 and 400.0 m³ fed⁻¹ or 4.1, 7.9, 10.9, and 15.3% for the cut off treatments B,C,D and E respectively. The highest average of yield per unit of applied water (W Ut E) and consumed water (W Us E) averaging 16.58 and 24.80 kg m⁻³ for each, respectively were obtained under 90% S.L (Trt C).

INTRODUCTION
The water shortage problem in Egypt is continuously increasing and prospected to reach less than 500 m³/yr/capita. In order to cope with the shortage of water, tremendous efforts should be implemented. One of the most effective ways of the irrigation management on the farm is to select the proper irrigation scheduling and crop rotation. Water excessive as well as insufficient irrigation results in decreasing crop yield. Egyptian clover (Trifolium alexandrinum L.) is one of the most important forage legume crops in some world countries particularly that has long winter season with cold- moderate temperature. Strip or border irrigation is a common type of surface irrigation, most suitable for berseem irrigation especially in clay soil. Under traditional irrigation practiced by local farmers the wetting front is allowed to reach the tail end of the border. Therefore, a long time is allowed for water to stay in the upper portion of the irrigated strip which results in more water losses by deep percolation. So that cut off technique procedure could be implemented i.e. irrigation front should stop before the end of the cultivated border. Such procedure considered as a direct simple effective way in water saving. As, less water will percolate down to the drainage system at the area. Irrigated parameters of berseem were studied widely in Egypt and worldwide. Kassab and Ibrahim (2007) revealed that cutoff' wheat irrigation as an effective technique for improving water management via saving an amount of irrigation water. Also they stated that highest values of crop-water functions i.e. W Ut E (1.61 kgm⁻³) and W Us E (1.73 kgm⁻³) obtained from 90 % of strip length. Mahrous et al (1984) concluded that to obtain high yield of Egyptian clover, available moisture should be maintained between 40 to 60 % depletion of the available soil moisture. Thus, low yield of Egyptian clover is correlated with low level of soil moisture (Badawi, 1970). So, the objective of this study was to identify the most suitable cut off related to berseem irrigation under border watering i.e. when to stop the irrigation front. The specific goals were to determine the amount water saving under this technique and to compute berseem water relations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The current study was conducted at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate during the two winter seasons 2010/2011 and 2011/2012, to study the effect of strip length on berseem crop as well as some water relation. Soil of the experimental field was clayey in texture table (1).

Berseem was sown on October 16, 2010 and october22, 2011 with dry broadcasting method. Dates of cutting (C) were as follow
- Season 2: C₁= 7/1/2012, C₂= 20/2/2012, C₃= 8/4/2012, C₄= 10/5/2012

All the used agronomic practices in the study area were followed except the length of irrigation run treatments which were as follows:
A- 100% strip length (control)
B- Cut off at 95 % of strip length
C- Cut off at 90 % of strip length
D- Cut off at 85 % of strip length
E- Cut off at 80 % of strip length

Length of each cultivated strip was 70 m and width 3 m, irrigation was stopped when water front reached 70.0 (control) treatment, 66.3, 63.0, 59.5 and 56.0 m for A,B,C,D and E, respectively. The experimental design was in a complete randomized block design with four replicates. Each strip unit included 6 ridges, 60cm apart redundant, the area of strip was 210 m² i.e. 1/20 fed.
Table 1. Some physical and chemical characteristics of the studied soils before cultivating the crop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil depth (cm)</th>
<th>Particle size distribution %</th>
<th>Physical characteristics</th>
<th>Chemical characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>Clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-45</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-60</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PWP = Permanent wilting point, AW = Available water

Execution and data collected:
1- Irrigation control:
Irrigation water was controlled and measured by a constructed rectangular weir in the experimental field with a discharge rate of 0.01654 m³/sec at 10 cm as effective head over the crest. Distribution of irrigation water was maintained by spills inserted beneath the strip bank.

2- Advance and recession curves:
Along each strip, different stations 10 m apart were put all the way till the end of the proposed irrigation run. Time of advanced water front at each station and at the end was recorded from the beginning of watering event. The corresponding elapsed time, for the disappear of water at each station was also recorded. The vertical distance between the two curves of advance and recession indicated or expressed as the opportunity time of irrigation water at each station.

2- Water-consumptive use:
Soil moisture percentage was determined (on weight basis) before and after each irrigation as well as at harvest to compute the actual consumed water of the growing plants, as stated by Hansen et al. (1979).

\[
CU = S.M.D. = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \frac{\phi_2 - \phi_1}{100} \times D_{bi} \times D_i
\]

Where:
CU = Water consumptive use (cm) in the effective root zone of 60 cm depth = S.M.D. (soil moisture depletion).
I = Number of soil layer (1-4)
D_i = Soil layer thickness (15 cm),
D_{bi} = Bulk density (Mg/m³) of the concerned layer.
\(\phi_1\) = Soil moisture percentage before irrigation and
\(\phi_2\) = Soil moisture percentage, 48 hours after irrigation.

3- Crop yield:
The obtained data of crop yield for each cut, the seasonal yield was subjected to states analyses according to Snedecor and Cochran (1967).

4- Crop-Water efficiency:
Crop water efficiency was calculated according to Doorenbos and Pruitt (1975), as follows:

\[
WUtE = \frac{Y}{Wa}
\]

\[
WusE = \frac{CU}{Y}
\]

Where:
WUtE = Water utilization efficiency (kg/m³).
WUsE = Water use efficiency (kg/m³).
Y = Seasonal yield kg/fed.
Wa = Seasonal water applied and
CU = Seasonal crop-water consumed.

5- Consumptive use efficiency (Ecu):
Values of consumptive use efficiency (Ecu) was calculated by Doorenbos and Pruitt (1975).

\[
Ecu = \frac{ETc}{Wa} \times 100
\]
the highest Wa (2615.01 m³ fed⁻¹). This amount of water during the course of study of the two seasons was 172.1 and 122.56 mm during the two growing seasons of 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 respectively. Mean values of the treatments of applied irrigation rainfall (RF) as shown in Table (2). Seasonal rainfall consists of two components, irrigation water (IW) and rainfall (RF), which consists of 1596.18 m³ as irrigation water and 618.87 m³ as rainfall. Strip length of 80% (Trt E) received the lowest average of water applied (2215.05 m³ fed⁻¹), which consists of 1596.18 m³ as irrigation water and 618.87 m³ as rainfall. There for, total water applied could be arranged in descending order as; A(2615.01)> B (2507.66) > C(2409.4)> D(2330.53) >E (2215.05) m³ fed⁻¹.

In comparison with the control (Trt A no cut off.) the mean water saving in the two growing seasons were 4.1, 7.9, 10.9, and 15.3% for the cut off treatments B, C, D and E, respectively. Meaningfully, 9-10 m was wetted under treatment E of 80% SL cut off, while it was 3.5 m for the 95% SL cut off (Trt. B). This is the main advantage of using such technique of cut off watering to save same irrigation water.

Therefore, by irrigating 95% and 90% from the border length instead of the traditional watering till the end of the strip (Trt. A), the remaining dry area of 3.5 and 7.0 m could be wetted by the accumulated water of the irrigated area of 95% and 90% S.L. i.e treatments B and C, respectively. Moreover, saving water with 4.1% and 7.9% could be obtained along with less water could be drained. These findings are agreed with those obtained with Emara and Ibrahim (2004), they found that irrigated beet crop till 90% from the furrow length almost 9.97% saving water could be resulted.

The advancement of water front still going on towards the lower end of the border, after stop if irrigation as shown in table (3).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Water parameters:**

**a) Seasonal water applied (Wa):**

Water applied to the Egyptian clover (Berseem) consists of two components, irrigation water (IW) and rainfall (RF) as shown in Table (2). Seasonal rainfall was 172.1 and 122.56 mm during the two growing seasons of 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 respectively. Mean values of the treatments of applied irrigation showed that the control (Trt. A no cut off. 100% SL) has the highest Wa (2615.01 m³ fed⁻¹). This amount of water is the summation of 1996.14 m³ fed⁻¹ as irrigation water and 618.87 m³ as rainfall. Strip length of 80% (Trt E) received the lowest average of water applied (2215.05 m³ fed⁻¹), which consists of 1596.18 m³ as irrigation water and 618.87 m³ as rainfall. There for, total water applied could be arranged in descending order as; A(2615.01)> B (2507.66) > C(2409.4)> D(2330.53) >E (2215.05) m³ fed⁻¹.

In comparison with the control (Trt A no cut off.) the mean water saving in the two growing seasons were 4.1, 7.9, 10.9, and 15.3% for the cut off treatments B, C, D and E, respectively. Meaningfully, 9-10 m was wetted under treatment E of 80% SL cut off, while it was 3.5 m for the 95% SL cut off (Trt. B). This is the main advantage of using such technique of cut off watering to save same irrigation water.

Therefore, by irrigating 95% and 90% from the border length instead of the traditional watering till the end of the strip (Trt. A), the remaining dry area of 3.5 and 7.0 m could be wetted by the accumulated water of the irrigated area of 95% and 90% S.L. i.e treatments B and C, respectively. Moreover, saving water with 4.1% and 7.9% could be obtained along with less water could be drained. These findings are agreed with those obtained with Emara and Ibrahim (2004), they found that irrigated beet crop till 90% from the furrow length almost 9.97% saving water could be resulted.

The advancement of water front still going on towards the lower end of the border, after stop if irrigation as shown in table (3).

**b. Advance, recession curves and opportunity time:**

Fig1 (A,B,C,D,E) the trend of the advance and recession which are almost parallel for all treatments. The opportunity time, is affected by the cut-off treatments. The opportunity time has the adverse direction with the level of cut-off. Meaningfully, by increasing the length of irrigation run (traditional without cut-off) the highest opportunity time is resulted and vise versa.

So, it is obvious that by irrigating only 90% from cultivated strip (Trt. C), the corresponding time is less than that of Trt. A and this means less water could be drained underneath the root zone.

**Crop consumptive use (ETc):**

Seasonal crop water consumptive use (CU) which referred to crop evapotranspiration (ETc) has the same trend as that of the applied water. Consumptive use is a direct function of the soil moisture. Values of seasonal consumptive use under different rates of berseem crop are presented in Table 2. The overall average values of seasonal consumptive use in the two growing seasons are ; A(42.76) > B (40.33) > C(38.30) > D(37.27) > E (35.00) cm. The highest mean vale of 42.76 cm with 100% S.L (Trt. A), resulted from irrigation till the end of the cultivated border. This is due to the highest water delivered to treatment A. On the other hand, the lowest value 35.00 cm resulted from 80% S.L (Trt. E). in addition from the same Table 2 the mean values of seasonal rate of CU for the treatments have the same trend. They were 2.14, 2.02, 1.92, 1.86 and 1.75 mm/day, respectively. The results are in the agreement with that reported by Kassab and Ibrahim 2007 and Azevedo et al 2003

**Crop-water efficiencies:**

Crop-water efficiency indicates the productivity of unit water. This it can be evaluated in two terms of water utilization efficiency (WUt.E) which related with water applied and water use efficiency (WUsE) for water consumed. The average values of water utilization efficiency (WUt.E), of the two seasons for treatments A, B, C, D and E were 15.40, 16.08, 17.22, 15.99 and 15.58 kg/m², respectively (Table 2). Moreover, treatment C (90% S.L) recorded the highest average of W.Ut.E of 16.58 kg/m². While the lowest value 15.40 kg/m² was obtained from control (100% S.L). Concerning, water use efficiency (W.Us.E), mean values of WUsE for treatments A, B, C, D and E were 22.38, 23.77, 24.80, 22.41 and 23.65 kg/m³ (Table 2). The highest 24.80 kg/m³ was recorded with treatment C (90% S.L), while the lowest 22.38 kg/m³ was resulted from control (100% S.L.). Therefore, one kg Berseem needs about 42.1 L of consumed water.

This finding is in a good agreement with those obtained by Abass et al.(1995) found that optimum irrigation efficiency increased under stress conditions than well watering conditions.
Table 2. Water parameters of Egyptian clover (benecon) as affected by length of irrigation run in the two growing season.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>E (%)</th>
<th>D (%)</th>
<th>C (%)</th>
<th>E (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The table contains data for two growing seasons, but specific values are not visible in the image.
Fresh and dry yield of Egyptian clover

Length of irrigation run had a significant effect on berseem yield in both seasons as shown in Tables (4,5). Almost the same yield was obtained under treatments 100% of strip length (S.L) as control (Trt. A), 95% (Trt. B), 90% S.L (Trt. C). the mean corresponding values were: 40.19, 40.27 and 39.88 ton / fed. This finding could be attributed to that the un-irrigation area for the cut off treatments B and C were irrigated from the accumulative water after the stopping of watering events. This is a remarkable finding that is not necessary to irrigating the berseem crop till the trial end of the cultivated strip. On the other hand, the lowest yield of 34.76 ton / fed. Was recorded for 80% of SL. Similar results were obtained by Abo-warda (2002),

Consumptive use efficiency (Ecu):

Consumptive use efficiency (Ecu) is a parameter which indicates the capability of plants to utilize the soil moisture stored in the effective roots zone. Table (2) show that the highest value of Ecu was showed that 68.68% (100% S.L., Trt. A.). while the lowest value of Ecu was 66.36% (80% S.L., Trt. E.). Other treatments have values in between. These results agree with those of Doorenbos et al (1979) who stated that the consumptive use efficiency increased with the increase of consumptive use and with the decrease in water applied.

Table 3. Average of soil distance without irrigation and reach time to stop water front (W.F.) irrigation cut off for different treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Unirrigated distance</th>
<th>W.F. advancement after cut off</th>
<th>Time to stop (W.F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A = 100% of S.L. (control)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B = 95% of SL</td>
<td>3.5 m</td>
<td>3.5 m</td>
<td>12-14 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C = 90% of SL</td>
<td>7.0 m</td>
<td>7.0 m</td>
<td>20-22 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D = 85% of SL</td>
<td>10.5 m</td>
<td>10.5 m</td>
<td>30-32 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E = 80% of SL</td>
<td>14.0 m</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>30-34 min.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Percent yield (ton/ha) of Egyptian clover as affected by length of irrigation run in the two growing seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.1. Irrigated length and elapsed time for different treatment.

Elapsed time, minutes

Time of ponding: 77 min

Legend:
- Red: Treatment 1
- Blue: Treatment 2
Table S. Dry yield (ton/ha) of Egyptian clover as affected by length of irrigation-run in the two growing seasons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>7/14</th>
<th>7/25</th>
<th>7/35</th>
<th>7/45</th>
<th>7/55</th>
<th>7/65</th>
<th>7/75</th>
<th>7/85</th>
<th>7/95</th>
<th>Average Seasonal Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S. Dry yield (ton/ha) of Egyptian clover as affected by length of irrigation-run in the two growing seasons.
CONCLUSION

It might be concluded that irrigation berseem crop in North Nile Delta till 90% of strip length (Trt. C) have the same yield obtained that of Trt. A (irrigating till the strip end) and B (95% S.L), saving 7.9% of irrigation water and highest values of crop-water functions e.g. $W_{UtE}$ (24.80 kg m$^{-3}$) and $W_{UE}$ (16.58 kg m$^{-3}$).
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