Effect of Soil conditioners and Irrigation Levels on Growth and Productivity of Pomegranate Trees in the New Reclaimed Region

Abd-Ella E.K.Eman.¹

ABSTRACT

This investigation was carried out during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons on ten years old Arabi pomegranate trees, grown in sandy soil under drip irrigation in a private farm located at Alexandria-Matrouh road (about 80Km from Alex.). The experiment was designed to study the effects of different rates from soil conditioners, Hundz soil, (0.0,0.5 and 10Kg /tree) or mixture from (Nile fertile $+ K_2SO_4$) [Zero, (2Kg + 500gm) and (1Kg + 250gm)] under irrigation levels at 50, 75 and 100% of the recommended water level (5.5, 8.25 and 11m³/tree/year) as well as their interactions on growth, leaf component, flowering, fruiting, yield and fruit quality during both seasons. The results indicated that the highest irrigation level of 11m³ /tree /year enhanced vegetative growth, fruit set, yield as well as leaf NPK content and fruit quality (fruit weight, diameter, length, TSS, anthocyanine and V.C.) while fruit acidity and tannins content decreased. Moreover, application of either Hundz soil at rate of 10kg/tree or the mixture of (NF + K_2SO_4) at highest rate (2Kg + 500gm) gave significantly the highest mean values of the above mentioned characters during the two seasons. Comparing with trees irrigated with 11 m³/tree/year level, adding Hundz soil at 10Kg /tree under irrigation treatment 8.25m³/tree /year gave similar effect on improving all growth characters, fruit set, yield and fruit quality except fruit diameter and V.C. Similar results were found with high rate of the mixture (2Kg NF+500gm K2SO4) with the same level of irrigation on all characters except acidity. Date also indicated that the application of Hz soil combined with mixture of (NF+K2SO4) at both highest levels for each of them and irrigated with moderate irrigation level 8.25m3 /tree /year gave best growth and produced higher fruit quality as compared with trees irrigated with 100% from recommended water level. Similar effects of combinations between high rate of both Hundz soil and the mixture of Nilefertile + K₂SO₄ under least irrigation treatments 5.5 m³ /tree/year on the previous characters of fruit. Data indicated generally that the best treatment combination was gained from using highest irrigation level (11m³ /tree /year) and 10Kg /tree Hundz soil + 2Kg /tree Nile Fertile + 500gm K₂SO₄which resulted in the highest values for all vegetative growth characters, leaf N P K, chlorophyll and RWC, yield and all fruit quality except leaf proline, juice tannins and acidity in both seasons. It could be recommended to apply 2Kg /tree Hundz soil combined with 2Kg NF + 500 gm K2SO4 with irrigation level of 11m³ /tree /year to obtain highest production with good quality of pomegranate fruits as well as to apply 2Kg /tree Hundz soil with 2Kg NF + 500 K₂SO₄ under irrigation 8.25m³ /tree /year or 5.5m³ /tree /year for

saving about 25% - 50% of water to achieve the same yield and fruit quality according to the availability of water, especially in the new reclaimed area.

INTRODUCTION

Water is one of the most important components in the biological function (Salisbury and Ross, 1985). Increasing water use efficiency, fruit management, production and saving irrigation water are important tasks (Devid et al., 1999). Of all the materials used by fruit trees, water seems to be taken up in the largest amounts (Chopade, 2001). Cultivation on arid sandy soil requires large quantities of water. The low water holding capacity of this soil causes rapid infiltration and deep percolation below the root zone (Beaumont, 1993). For production a high crops especially on the sandy soils, the physical-chemical properties of these soils must be modified. The addition of conditioners to such soils enhanced their properties, leading to decrease water infiltration rate, increasing the efficiency of the used water and fertilizers (Laila- Ali et al., 2009).

Recently, the global demand for clean agriculture free from chemical, like fertilizers, pesticides, synthetic soil conditioners, etc., is of much concern at moment to protect the ecosystem from their adverse effects hence, natural soil conditioners are the most effective agents in stabilizing soil organic matter (El-Aggory and Abd El-Rasoul, 2002). Also, Khalifa *et al.*, (1997) suggested that natural soil conditioners increased soil hydraulic conductivity and water diffusivity of sandy soil whereas Laila-Ali *et al.*, (2009) found that applying the combinations of organic source and soil natural conditioners increase yield of wheat plant.

Thus, Hundz soil is a natural soil conditioner that is made out of dry compressed cellulose and recycles agricultural material, shaped in grains and varies in size (0.2-2.0mm)that is capable of penetrating through the sand grains, forming a new media ideal for growing plants, has a balanced pH of 6.8-7.2, water holding capacity of 300% naturally, which will change sandy soil water capacity and does not absorb heat, so water evaporation is dramatically minimized. Hundzsoil retains water longer than regular soil, so plants develop healthy root system. Hundz soil is certified from Soil, Water and Environment Res., Institute, ARC, Giza Egypt.

¹El-SabahiaHort. Res. Stat.Alex.

Received December 15, 2011, Accepted December 31, 2011.

The second soil conditioner is Nilefertile which contains many components as sulfur fertilizer mixture (SFM) in a fine powder form, produced by Giza Technology Co. (Egypt) to be a good substitute for Nile mud (Abd-Allah, 1997). It's containing elemental sulfur (32%)as an acidulous material which is oxidized slowly to sulfuric acid, after its application to soil, thio bacillus, thio oxidants, autotrophic bacteria and different S-Oxidizing bacteria, which effective especially under alkaline conditions,Bentonite clay or mudstone (18%) as a water absorbent material, a mixture of ground rocks and minerals (45%) that are rich in fertilizer elements:P,K,Mg,Fe,Ca and Mn and five percent of urea as a source of nitrogen.

As water supply is limited, loss of turgor and wilting are typical symptoms of potassium deficiency and this may be related to the role of K in stomatal regulation which is the major mechanism controlling the water regime of higher plants. In addition, potassium maintains higher tissue water content even under shortage of water (Lindhauer, 1985). Potassium is essential for the synthesis of amino acids, thus plants don't grow well in the absence of potassium (Edmond et al., 1975). A large number of enzymes is either completely dependent on or stimulated by K (Suelter, 1970).

So, the aim of the present study was designed to improve the main characteristics of sandy soil and to increase water use efficiency of pomegranate trees subjected to different irrigation frequencies and different levels of soil conditioners Handz soil, Nilefertile and $K_2 SO_4 on$ vegetative growth and fruit quality parameters. Also, to determine whether Handz soil can reduce irrigation frequencies without negative effect on yield and fruit quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out during 2010 and 2011 successive seasons on ten years old Arabi pomegranate trees (granatumPunica L.), grown in sandy soil of a private farm located at Alex.-Matrouh (about 80Km from Alex.). Trees under investigation were uniform in shape and size as possible, spaced at 5x5 meters apart and irrigated with drip irrigation. The physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil are presented in Table (1). The trees were annually fertilized with 15m3 / feddan of organic manure (sheep manure) in December of each year with 1.0 Kg/ tree calcium superphosphate(15.5% P₂O₂). Also, 2.0 Kg/ tree ammonium sulphate (20.6% N) and 1.0 Kg/tree of potassium sulphate (48% K₂O)were added in three equal doses at February, April and June. The following treatments were applied:

- 1-Irrigations frequencies were divided into three levels; 50%, 75% and 100% of the recommended rate of irrigations according to the recommendations of the Ministry of Agriculture as follows:
- I₁: 50% of the recommended rate of irrigation (5.5m³/tree/year)= 924m³/feddan/year.
- I₂: 75% of the recommended rate of irrigation (8.25m³/tree/year) = 1389m³/feddan/year.
- I₃: 100% of the recommended rate of irrigation (11.0m³/tree /year) = 1848m³/feddan/ year.

Irrigation treatments varied with the change of the developmental stage of the plant.

2-Hundz soil (HN) conditioner was used at three levels:

HN₀: No addition (control treatment)

 HN_1 : 5 Kg / tree Hundz soil

HN₂:10 Kg / tree Hundz soil

Hundz soil was applied to the soil under drip irrigation lines in January of each year. The chemical analysis of Hundz soil is shown in Table(2)

3- A mixture of Nilefertile $+ K_2SO_4$ was applied together to the wet surface under drip irrigation line at three equal doses at March, May and July of both seasons at three rates:

MX₀: without any addition (control treatment)

MX₁: 1 Kg of Nilefertile + 250gm K₂SO₄/tree

MX₂: 2 Kg of Nilefertile + 500gm K₂SO₄/tree

The experimental design was a split-split-plots system in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. The experiment consisted of twenty seven treatments; which representing the combinations among the three factors; the first one was the three irrigation levels which arranged as the main plots (I_1 , I_2 and I_3). The second one was Hundz soil levels (HN₀, HN₁ and HN₂)were considered as the subplot, while the third factor was three levels of the mixture of Nile fertile + $K_2SO_4(MX_0, MX_1$ and $MX_2)$ were placed as sub - sub plots.

The following parameters were used to evaluate the tested treatments:

1- vegetative growth

In each season of study (on early April) four uniform branches were selected on each tree and tagged at their cardinal points. The average number of new shoots in each of the branches was counted. The length (cm) and diameter (cm) were measured as well as number of leaves/ shoot were counted. Ten leaves were collected randomly from the first mature leaves from the tip of the previously tagged shoots and their areas (cm²) were measured.

Soil		EC	3 %	M.O		luble C	Soluble Cations meq/L	red/L	So	Soluble Anionss meq/L	nionss	dis	Partical distribution %	n %	Soil	Field	wilting	Avaliable	le
(cm)	pa	ds/m ⁻¹	caco	%	K ⁺	Na+	Mg^{2+}	Ca ²⁺	CL ₂	K ⁺ Na ⁺ Mg ²⁺ ca ²⁺ CL ₂ SO ₄	HCO ₃	sand	silt	clay	HCO3 sand silt clay Texture	Capacity %	%	water %	•
0- 30	8.1	8.1 0.75 3.5 0.53 0.1 2.9 0.6 1.3 3 1 0.9 93.4 2.2 4.4	3.5	0.53	0.1	2.9	0.6	1.3	ယ	-	0.9	93.4	2.2	4.4	sandy	9.2	1.9	7.3	- 1
30-	8.2	0.65	2.8	0.49	0.1	0.49 0.1 1.3	0.4	0.7	1.2	0.7	0.6	93	2.2	0					
90	8.2	0.61	2.7	0.35	0.1	1.5	2.7 0.35 0.1 1.5 0.3 0.9	0.9	1.4 0.7	0.7	0.7	92		4.0	sandy	8.8	1.6	7.2	
				and cl									92 2.6 5.4	5.4	sandy sandy	7.9	1.6	7.2	
Table	2. So	Table 2. Some physical and chemical properties of Hundz soil (Conditioner)	SICAL		hemi	cal pr	operti	es of	Hund	z soil (Condit	ioner)	2.6	5.4	sandy sandy	7.9	1.3	7.2 6.6	
Table 2	2. So	me phy: Density (Kg/m3)	SICAL	рН	hemi	cal pr EC ¹	operti	es of	Hund	z soil (ndz soil (Conditioner) Total N % Total P % Total K %	ioner) P%	2.6 Total	5.4	sandy sandy Organic matter %	7.9 Carbon %	1.6		1.51 1.5c

2-Leaf chemical composition

2.1. Leaf chlorophyll and minerals content

A sample of twenty mature leaves was randomly collected from the middle part of non-fruiting shoots of each replicate tree in May of both seasons to determined total leaf chlorophyll according to the method described by Yadava (1986) using Minolta Chlorophyll Meter Spad.502 (Minolta Camera, LTD Japan). The previous selected fresh leaves were washed with tap water, thereafter with distilled water and dried under a constant temperature 70°C in an electric oven. Each sample of dried leaves was ground to powder using porcelin mortar to avoid contamination. A sample of 0.3gm from the ground leaves was digested using H₂O₂ and H₂SO₄ according to Evenhuis and Dewaard (1980). Aliquots were then taken for mineral determination. Total phosphorus nitrogen and were determined colorimetrically according to Evenhuis (1976)and Murphy and Riley (1962), respectively. Potassium was determined against a standard using flame photometer following Chapman and Pratt, (1961).concentrations of NPK were expressed as a percentage on dry weight bases.

2.2. Determination of free leaf proline content

A sample of 0.5gm from the dried mature leaves was homogenized in 10ml sulphosalicylic acid (3.5%) and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 300 rpm and decanted then filtered through What-man no.2 filter paper. The supernatant was diluted and injected in Beckman Amino Acid Analyzer 1/9CL. The proline concentration was determined from standard curve and calculated on dry weight basis according to Singh *et al.*, (1973).

2.3. The leaf relative water content(RWC): was calculated as the method described by Smart and Bingham (1974). Ten mature leaves were taken from each replicate, cleaned with tissue paper and their fresh weight was immediately recorded. The turgid weight of these leaves was recorded after floating in water in covered petridish for 24 hours at 4°C. Thereafter, the leaves were weighted and then dried at 70°C to a constant weight and their dry weights were recorded. The leaf relative water content (RWC) was calculated from the following equation:

$$RWC = \frac{Fresh\ weight\ - Dry\ weight}{Turgid\ weight\ - Dry\ weight} \times {}^{100}$$

3-Flowering, fruit set percentages and yield

The total number of flowers per shoot on each tagged branch was counted at full bloom. The numbers of set fruits on the same branches were recorded to calculate fruit set percentage according to the following equation

Fruit set % = (No. of developing fruits/total number of flowers) \times 100.Total yield (kg) of each replicate tree was determined at fruit maturity stage at the 2^{nd} week of August.

4-Fruit quality

Samples of six fruits from each replicate at harvest time (mid-August) were taken to determine fruit weight (gm), length (cm), diameter (cm) and volume (cm³). In juice of each fruit sample, total soluble solids (TSS) percentage was determined by a hand refractometer and percentage of acidity was measured according to A.O.A.C. (1995).Vitamin C was determined by the titration with dichlorophenol indophenol blue dye and expressed as mg vitamin C/ 100ml juice. Also, total anthocyanin percentage in fruit juice was determined as described by Hsia *et al.*, (1965). Tannins content was measured in the juice by the method described by Winton and Winton, (1945).

Data were statistically analyzed using Co-Stat CoHort Software computer program for statistics (1986). The differences among the means of experimental treatments were separated by LSD test for interpretation of results as explained by Steel and Torrie (1980).

RERSULTS AND DISCUSSION

1-Main effects of different factors on the studied characters

The results of the main effects of the different studied factors;i.e.,soil conditioner(Hundz soil), irrigation frequency and mixture of Nilefertile +K₂SO₄ on vegetative growth,fruiting,yield,leaves chemical composition and fruit quality of pomegranate trees are shown in Tables 3 to 7.

1-Vegetative growth characters

Concerning the main effects of the three studied factors; i.e. three irrigation levels, three Hundz soil rates and three levels of the mixture of Nilefertile $+K_2SO_4$ on vegetative growth characters (shoot length, diameter, no. of leaves /shoot, no. of shoots/main branch and leaf area) of pomegranate trees, the results generally illustrated that the comparisons among the mean values of the studied characters for each factor appeared to be significant, in both growing seasons (Table 3).

The obtained results concerning the main effect of irrigation levels illustrated generally that the highest vegetative growth parameters resulted from the highest irrigation level I_3 ($11\,\text{m}^3/\text{tree/year}$). Meantime, $I_2(8.25\,\text{m}^3/\text{tree/year})$ gave similar effect like I_3 on

Table 3. Effect of irrigation frequency ,Hundz soil and Mixture of Nile fertile + K2SO4 on vegetative growth parameters of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

Treatments	Shoot ler 2010	Shoot length (cm) 2010 2011	Shoot diameter (cm) 2010 2011	2011	No. of leaves / shoot 2010 2011	ves / shoot 2011	No. of new shoots 2010	001	s / branch 2011	s/branch leaf area (cm²) 2011 2010 2011
Irrigation levels m³/tree/year										
Ŀ	12.65b	12.77Ь	1.41b	1.63c	16.38b	18.01b		9.34a	9.34a 11.10b	0200
L	12.86a	12.99a	1.61a	1.86b	18.07ab	22.17a		9.81a	9.81a 12.86a	
r,	12.98a	13.11a	1.71a	2.02a	21.45a	23.85a		10.37a	10.37a 13.23a	
Hundz soil kg/tree										
HN_{\circ}	12.48b	12.61b	1.47b	1.73b	17.71b	20.4b		9.50b	9.50b 11.59b	
HN1	12.86ab	12.99ab	1.57ab	1.87a	18.88ab	21.73a		9.82ab	9.82ab 12.71a	2020
HN_2	13.14a	13.28a	1.67a	1.90a	19.96a	22.5a		10.19a	10.19a 12.89a	133
Nile fertile + K ₂ SO ₄ Kg/tree										
MX_0	12.46c	12.59c	1.35c	1.55c	16.18c	18.02c		7.8c	7.8c 11.07c	
MX_{i}	12.90b	13.03b	1.59b	1.86b	19.21b	21.45b		10.02Ь	10.02b 12.66b	J. Carl
MX_2	13.12a	13.26a	1.77a	2.10a	21.15a	24.55a		10.71a	10.71a 13.46a	

vegetative growth parameters except for shoot diameter in the second season. However, there was insignificant difference between different levels of irrigation on number of new shoots/ mainbranch in the 1st season only. Generally, increasing irrigation levels enhanced vegetative growth of pomegranateby increasing shoot length, number of leaves per shoot and leaf area. It can be explained that water stress decreased the cytokinin transport from roots to shoots and increased the amount of leaf abscisic acid. These change in hormone balance caused a reduction in cell growth and leaf expansion. These results agree with those obtained by El-Iraqy et al., (2006) on guava and Khattab et al., (2010) on pomegranate, as they stated that vegetative growth parameters were markedly increased by increasing irrigation rates.

With respect to the main effects of Hundz soil (soil conditioner) on vegetative growth parameters, the results in Table(3) showed that gradual increment of Hundz soil application up to 10 Kg/ tree resulted in a significantincreases of vegetative growth, compared to control, in both seasons. The obtained resultssuggested generally that improving the morphological characters of pomegranate trees after application of Hundz soil may be due to increasecation exchange capacity and mineral nutrients, which in turn encouraged the plant growth. In this respect Kay-Shoemake et al., (2000) found that application of soil conditioner (polyacrylamide PAM)increased the availability of nutrients, especially N via enhancing the activity of soil enzymes (urease and amidase), which involved in N cycling. Besides, the influence of PAM on reducing the leached amount of NH4 and NO3 (Bres and Weston, 1993), especially under the higher intervals of irrigation. Thus, the biosynthesis of proteins DNA and RNA would be enhanced leading to more initiation and division of the apical meristem cells; consequently, the plant height could be increased. Similar trend of results was found by Hoda -Khalil(2005) and Eman-Abd - Ella (2006).

As for theeffect of application of both Nilefertile NF + K_2SO_4 on the vegetative growth characters, the results in Table (3) showedthat using different levels of Nilefertile + K_2SO_4 significant improving all the vegetative growth characters comparing to the control treatment in both seasons. The maximum values of shoot length, diameter, number of shoots per main branch, number of leaves / shoot and leaf areawere recorded on the trees receivedNilefertile (NF₂) at 2 $Kg/tree + K_2SO_4$ at 500gm/tree compared with those of either control or (1Kg/tree NF + 250gm $K_2SO_4/tree$) in the two seasons. The Stimulative effects of K_2SO_4 on growth parameters maybe due to that kis essential for the synthesis of amino acids, thus plants don't grow

well in the absence of K (Edmond et al., 1975). Also, it is very important in the plant photosynthesis process and helping plants metabolize their food to get energy. It is involved in many aspects of plant physiology (Marschner, 1995). The positive effect of Nilefertile application on vegetative growth parameters may be due to it contains elemental sulfer (acidulous material) which is oxidized slowly to sulfuric acid after its application to soil. Also, it contains different S-Oxidizing bacteria so it reduced soil pH and increased nutrient availability. Moreover, sulfur is a part of every living cell and required for synthesis of certain amino acids. In this respectit is worthy to note that Abbas (1999), Sherin - Attia (2002) and Wael (2005) found that NF significantly enhanced vegetative growth of olive trees

2-Leaf chemical composition

Data in Table (4) illustrated the main effects of irrigation frequency, Hundz soil and mixture of Nilefertile + K_2SO_4 on leaf chemical composition i.e. leaf N, P, K, total chlorophyll, proline and relative water content in both seasons.

The obtained results concerning the main effects of irrigation frequency revealed that, high irrigation level I₃ (11m³/tree/year) as well as moderate level of irrigation I₂ induced the highest leaf N, P, K, total chlorophyll and relative water content in both seasons with one exception for P in the first one. The increase of leaf N, P, K content with increasing irrigation rate was previously reported by Hussein (2004) on pear, Chauhan et al.,(2005) on apple,and Khattab et al.,(2010) on pomegranate as they found that N, P, K contents were increased under the condition of high irrigation treatment. Reduction in leaf element contents with reducing irrigation amount is explained by a substantial decrease in transpiration rates and impaired active transport and membrane permeability, resulting in a reduced root absorbing power of nutrients.

Regarding leaf proline content, irrigation at 5.5 m³/tree /year produced the highest proline content over other irrigation levelsin both seasons. Accumulation of proline amino acid in plant parts during water stress is important for absorption and might serve as a storage compound for reduced carbon and nitrogen during stress. El-said *et al.*, (1993) and Hoda- Khalil (2005) on olive revealed that proline accumulation in olive leaves was affected by different water regimes.

Date in Table (4) showed the main effects of soil conditioner, Handz soil on leaf chemical components. Increasing Handz soil up to 10Kg /tree was associated with detected increments in leaf component except leaf

Table 4. Effect of irrigation frequency ,Hundz soil and Mixture of Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ on some leaf components of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

Treatments		Irrigation levels m³/tree/year	\mathbf{I}_{1}	Ь	I ₅	Hundz soil kg/tree	HN_0	HN ₁	HN_2	Nile fertile + K ₂ SO ₄ Kg/tree	MX_0	MX_1	
N %	2010		1.46 b	1.70 a	1.85 a		1.57 b	1.70 a	1.75 a		1.39 c	1.69 b	
%	2011		1.52 b	1.75 a	1.91 a		1.62 b	1.76 a	1.79 a		1.45 c	1.69 b 1.75 b	
P	2010		0.184 a	0.189 a	0.194 a		0.186 b	0.189 ab	0.193 a		0.179 с	0.191 Ь	
P %	2011		0.192 b	0.198 a	0.203 a		0.195 b	0.198 ab	0.202 a		0.188 c	0.200 b	
×	2010		1.14 b	1.29 a	1.39 a		1.21 b	1.23 b	1.36 a		1.07 c	1.28 b	
K %	2011		1.15 b	1.31 a	1.39 a		1.21 b	1.27 a	1.37 a		1.09 c	1.28 b	
Chlor (mg	2010		4.67 b	4.88 a	4.99 a		4.50 b	4.88 ab	5.15 a		4.48 c	4.92 b	
Chlorophyll (mg/cm ²)	2011		4.74 b	4.96 a	5.10 a		4.58 b	4.97 ab	5.24 a		4.57 c	5.02 b	
Proline we	2010		13.28 a	13.09 b	12.92 b		13.19 a	13.09 ab	13.02 b		13.38 a	13.09 b	A STATE OF THE STA
Proline mg/g dry weight	2011		12.81 a	12.60 b	13.38 b		12.70 a	12.62 b	12.48 b		12.88 a	12.60 b	
Relati Conten	2010		76.48 b	89.24 a	91.55 a		84.68 b	85.76 b	86.83 a		83.04 b	86.20 a	
Relative Water Content (RWC)%	2011		76.98 b	91.16 a	93.07 a		85.69 b	87.81 a	88.02 a		83.59 с	87.29 Ь	

Values followed by similar letter(s), within a comparable group of means, do not significantly differ using revised LSD test at 0.05 level

proline which showed an opposite trend. Application of Handz soil at rate of 10Kg /tree gave significantly higher mean values of N, P, K, chlorophyll and (RWC) in both seasons. HN₁ treatment gave similar trend of effect on leaf N inboth seasons as well as K and relative water content in the second one. Data also indicated that the untreated trees gave the maximum values of leaf proline in both seasons. These results may be due to that soil conditioner reduced the leached amounts of N as NH₄⁺ and less as NO₃⁻, serves as a nitrogen source and improves the conditions of soil which enhanced the decomposition of organic matter (Wallace and Wallace, 1986) with increasing the activity of soil enzymes such as urease and amidase (Kay- Shoemake et al., 2000), thus N availability and translocation would be increased consequently the N% in the leaves could be increased. Similar trend of results was stated by Silberbush et al., (1993) who reported that large amount of water absorbed by (PAM) soil conditions material (80%-85%) stored in vacuoles within its matrix. Diffusion of water into the soil solution would render water available to plant. Undoubtedly, increasing available soil moisture would ultimately increase nutrients absorption and translocation by plant.

Data in table (4) clearly indicated that increasing the rates of the mixture up to 2Kg /tree Nilefertile + 500gm /tree K₂SO₄ led to progressive significant increases in the value of leaf N, P, K and total chlorophyll in both seasons and relative water content in the second season, compared with the control. Similar trend was obtained on relative water content as a result of application of 1Kg /tree Nilefertile + 250gm /tree K₂SO₄ in the first season. In the meantime, leaf proline showed an opposite trend where control treatment gave the highest percentage than other treatments in both seasons. Gething (1986)reported that K supply to the plants affect nitrogen efficiency, but there is an evidence of a more direct connection between the two elements, that K ion acts as a carrier for nitrate from the root to the leaf, where proteins are synthesized. Also, K ion, being very mobile, promotes the uptake of the nitrate by the root. These results are similar to those of Mohammed and Mohammed (2010) on apples. Moreover, Abbas, (1999) reported that application of Nilefertile to soil increased olive leafN.P. K and chlorophyll and decreased proline content. Also, Wael (2005) found that olive leaf content of N, P and K were increased by increasing levels of NF.

3-Flowering, Fruiting and Yield

The results of main effects of irrigation frequency, Hundz soil and mixture of Nilefertile + K_2SO_4 on number of flower/ shoot, fruit set percentage and yield are presented in Table (5). The obtained results

indicated that the highest mean value of number of flowers /shoot, fruit set percentage and yield were associated with highest rate of irrigation level at $11\,\mathrm{m}^3$ /tree/year compared to I_1 (5.5 m 3 /tree /year), in both seasons. In the meantime, I_2 (8.25 m 3 /tree /day) hada similar effect as I_3 on this respect. No significant differences were found between I_3 and I_2 on fruit set percentage and yield in both seasons. Such results are in harmony with Hussein (2004) on pear and Khattab *et al.*,(2010) on pomegranate who found that, increasing the amount of applied water increased flower number per shoot, fruit setting and yield.

Concerning the main effects of Hundz soil onpreviously mentioned parameters, the results in Table (5) illustrated generally that application of Hundz soilat 10Kg/treereflectedsignificant increasing effects on the mean values of the previous characters than other treatments in both seasons. Hundz soil has ahigh cation exchange capacity and hence it willaffect soil nutritional capacity and the supply of nutrients to plants. Also, it has a high water absorbing capacity thus will affect positively the yield (Wafaa El-Etr, 2001) .These results are in correspondence with those obtained by Saddik and Laila –Ali et al., (2009) who found that the yield of peanut and carrot increased significantly by natural amendments application compared to nontreated one.

Regarding the application of Nilefertile + K₂SO₄ levels on no. of flowers /shoot, fruit set percentage and yield (Kg /tree)the data in Table(5) indicated that the mean values of each studied character were high enough to be significant in both seasons. The results revealed that increasing mixture of Nilefertile and K₂SO₄ up to 2kg /tree and 500gm /tree respectively (MX₃) gave maximum values of the studied characters. The superiority of the highest level of the mixture might be referred to application of K₂SO₄ which activates more enzyme systems, aids in photosynthesis, promote water uptake, regulates nutrients translocation in plant, favors carbohydrate transport and increases yield (Marschner, 1995). Similar results were obtained by El-Iraqy et al., (2006), on guava and Mohammed and Mohammed (2010) on Apple.

The favorable influences of Nilefertile application on fruit set and yield could be related to the vital role of a mixture of ground rocks and minerals (45%) in increasing the availability of nutrient supply, improving the efficiency of macro-elements as well as its ability to meet some micro-elements requirements of crop, which in turn, should be reflected on production of high yield. Furthermore, NF contains elemental sulfer (32%) which is oxidized slowly to sulfuric acid, after its application to soil, below the drip lines, that prevented salt

Table 5. Effect of irrigation frequency , Hundz soil and Mixture of Nile fertile $+ K_2SO_4$ on flowering, fruiting and yield of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

Treatments	Flowers number /shoot	mber/shoot	Fruit	Fruit Set %	Yield Kg/tree	g/tree
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Irrigation levels m³/tree/year						
$\mathbf{I_{1}}$	3.68 b	3.96 b	51.42 b	54.29 b	27.70 b	29.80 b
\mathbf{I}_2	3.89 a	4.18 a	56.01 a	58.40 ab	29.76 а	31.84 a
I_3	3.99 a	4.29 a	58.85 a	61.19 a	30.79 a	32.74 a
Hundz soil kg/tree						
HN ₀	3.51 c	3.80 c	49.49 c	52.11 c	25.97 b	28.07 c
HN ₁	3.89 b	4.18 b	56.22 b	58.94 b	29.76 ab	31.83 b
HN_2	4.17 a	4.46 a	60.57 a	62.83 a	32.52 a	34.47 a
Nile fertile + K ₂ SO ₄ Kg/tree						
MX₀	3.49 c	3.79 c	49.23 с	51.87 c	25.78 c	27.84 c
MX_1	3.93 b	4.23 b	56.98 b	59.61 b	30.13 b	32.30 b
MX.	4.14a	4.43 a	60.07 a	62.39 a	32.33 a	34.22 a

accumulation around the roots and sustained the yield. The results are in line with those of Wael (2005) who found that increasing level of NF significantly enhanced olive fruit set and yield.

4-Fruit Physical Properties

Effect of irrigation levels on fruit weight, dimensions and fruit size are illustrated in Table (6). Fruit physical properties gradually increased with increasing irrigation levels in both seasons. Data also indicated that moderate irrigation level (I₂) enhanced fruit physical properties like high irrigation level I₃ however the differences between two irrigation were not big enough to be signification. These resultsare in line with those obtained by Kandil and El-Feky (2006) on apricot and Khattab *et al.*, (2010) on pomegranate as they concluded that fruit physical properties were improved when subjected to the highest irrigation rate.

Data in Table (6) showed that the highest fruit weight, length and diameter were obtained by application of 10 Kg /tree Hundz soil. Significant differences were found between (HN₂) the highest level and the control (HN₀) on fruit physical characteristics in both seasons. Fitzpatrick, (1986) found that Humus (soil conditioners) is capable to absorb large quantities of water; thus increasing the water holding capacity of the soil and therefore crop production.

As for the main effect of application of mixture of Nilefertile + K_2SO_4 the data in table (6) indicated that the highest fruit weight length and diameter were obtained by the addition of $2Kg\ NF + 500gm\ K_2SO_4$ (MX₃). On the other hand, the least significant values of the fruit physical properties were obtained by the lowest level of the fertilizer mixture (MX₀). No significant differences were found between high level of (NF + K_2SO_4) and the moderate level of (Mx₂) on fruit length in 2^{nd} season and diameter in the 1^{st} one. These results are coincided with El-Iraqy *etal.*,(2006) on guava and Mohammed and Mohammed (2010) on apples as they found that fruit weight and dimensions increased by increasing K levels.

5-Fruit Chemical Composition:

The main effects of different irrigation frequency levels on fruit chemical composition, (total soluble solids, anthocyanin, V.C., acidity and tannins are shown in Table (7).

Data clarified the presence of significant increment in fruit juice total soluble solids, anthocyanin and V.C. as a result of increasing irrigation frequency levels. High irrigation level I_3 ($11m^3$ /tree /year) recorded the highest values of fruit juice anthocyanin in seasons as well as TSS and V.C in the second one. Irrigation level

of 8.25m³ /tree /year behaved the same analogous effect on the previous fruit characteristics. However, in the first season, no significant differences were found between irrigation levels on TSS and V.C. High irrigation level (11m3 /tree /year) decreased significantly acidity and tannins content of pomegranate fruit compared to the rest of treatments during both seasons. The results are in line with those obtained by Lawand and Patil (1996) and Khattab et al., (2010) on pomegranates; they stated that the highest fruit acidity was observed with the lowest irrigation level. Also, El-Khoreiby and Salem (1989) and El-Iraqy et al., (2006) indicated that increasing soil moisture content increased V.C. content as well as decreased tannins content of guava. On the contrary, Khattab et al., (2010) found that anthocyanin content decreased by increasing irrigation levels in pomegranate juice.

Concerning the main effects of application of Hundz soil on fruit chemical composition, the results reflected that Hundz soil at highest rate (10Kg /tree) increased fruit juice TSS and anthocyanin in both seasons. However this trend of increment did not reach the significance level for V.C in the 2^{nd} season. Fruit acidity and tannins were significantly decreased as application of Hundz soil increased. Addition high rate of Hundz soil (10Kg /tree) significantly gave the lowest percent than either of treatment HN $_0$ or HN $_1$ in both seasons.

The results of the main effects of Nilefertile + K₂SO₄ levels on fruit chemical composition i.e. TSS, anthocyanin and V.C illustrated generally that the comparisons among the mean values of each studied character were high enough to be significant, in both seasons except TSS in the 1st season which reflected no significant differences between MX2and MX1. The results showed also that the application of 2Kg /tree Nilefertile + 500gm /tree K₂SO₄ was most favorable treatment that gave significant highest mean values of the previous characteristicsin both seasons. However, fruit acidity and tannins content were significantly decreased by application of high rate of Nilefertile and K₂SO₄ in both seasons. The results are in harmony with El-Iraqyet al., (2006) on guava and Mohamed and Mohamed (2010) on apple. They found that K fertilization positively affected fruit chemical composition.

2-First-order interactions effects

2.1. Vegetative growth

Results in Table (8) illustrated the effects of the first-order interactions (Irrigation levels \times Hundz soil, Irrigation levels \times mixture from (Nilefertile + K_2SO_4)

Table 6. Effect of irrigation frequency ,Hundz soil and Mixture of Nile fertile $+ K_2SO_4$ on some fruit physical properties of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

Treatments	Fruit Weight (gm)	ight (gm)	Fruit length (cm)	gth (cm)	Fruit Diameter (cm)	neter (cm)	Fruit Volume (cm³)	ume (cm³)
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Irrigation levels m³/tree/year								
\mathbf{I}_1	264.82 b	269.68 b	7.66 b	8.01 b	8.56 b	8.86 b	293.30b	312.03b
\mathbf{I}_2	286.92 ab	300.90 a	8.08 a	8.46 ab	8.80 a	9.07 a	313.83ab	332.89ab
I_3	315.51 a	320.14 a	8.38 a	8.82 a	8.91 a	9.23 a	324.45a	343.64a
Hundz soil kg/tree								
HN_0	278.06 b	279.27 b	7.73 b	8.21 b	8.69 b	8.92 b	276.35b	295.25b
HN_1	288.66 b	297.68 a	8.03 ab	8.49 a	8.75 ab	9.08 a	313.94ab	332.85ab
HN_2	300.54 a	313.78 a	8.37 a	8.59 a	8.82 a	9.16 a	341.45a	360.46a
Nile fertile + K ₂ SO ₄ Kg/tree								
MX_0	260.54 c	268.12 с	7.41 c	7.61 b	8.50 b	8.76 c	274.12c	293.90с
MX_1	294.26 b	295.49 b	7.92 b	8.65 a	8.81 a	9.06 b	282.98b	338.44b
MX_2	312.46 a	327.11 a	8.80 a	9.02 a	8.96 a	9.34 a	338.93a	356.20a

Table 7. Effect of irrigation frequency, Hundz soil and Mixture of Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ on some fruit chemical properties of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

Treatments	TSS	TSS %	Anthocy	Anthocyanine %	V.C mg vc/	V.C mg vc/100ml juice	Acid	Acidity %	Tannins %	ns %
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Irrigation levels m³/tree/year										
F	15.29 a	16.24 b	0.380 ь	0388 Ъ	23.63 a	23.97 b	0.88 a	0.96 a	2.28 a	2.14 a
L	15.94 a	17.95 a	0.401 a	0.409 a	23.78 a	24.35 ab	0.81 a	0.86 ab	2.12 a	1.98 a
Į.	16.48 a	18.16 a	0.411 a	0.419 a	24.17 a	24.84 a	0.77 b	0.78 b	1.88 b	1.75 b
Hundz soil kg/tree										
HN°	15.49 Ь	16.71 b	0.363 Ь	0.371 b	23.37 b	24.24 a	0.86 a	0.92 a	2.18 a	2.04 a
HN ₁	15.89 ab	17.74 ab	0.401 ab	0.408 ab	23.92 a	24.44 a	0.82 Ь	0.85 b	2.13 a	1.99 a
HN ₂	16.32 a	17.90 a	0.428 a	0.436 a	24.29 a	24.48 a	0.77 b	0.83 Ь	1.97 Ь	1.84 b
Nile fertile + K2SO4 Kg/tree										
MX ₆	14.77 b	16.02 c	0.361 c	0.369 с	23.49 b	23.64 c	0.88 a	0.91 a	2.35 a	2.21 a
MX,	16.11 a	17.81 Ь	0.404 Ъ	0.413 b	23.89 ab	24.51 b	0.78 Ь	0.85 b	2.12 b	1.98 a
MX_2	16.82 a	18.52 a	0.426 a	0.434 a	24.19 a	25.01 a	0.78 b	0.83 Ь	1.82 c	1.68 b

Values followed by similar letter(s), within a comparable group of means, do not significantly differ, using revised LSD test at 0.05 level

	5,504 on some vegetative growth characters of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons	able 8. First- order interaction effects of the three studied factors; Hundz soil, irrigation frequency and mixture	
Shoot I count (tive growth ch	nteraction effe	
Shoot I smoth (sm) Sho	aracters of Ar	cts of the thr	
Short Jiameter (cm)	abi pomegrai	ee studied fac	
No of lower	nate trees dur	tors; Hundz	
/shoot	ing 2010 and :	soil, irrigatio	
No of now shoots / busnet	2011 growing	n frequency a	
•	seasons	nd mixture of	
and (am²)		re of Nile fertile +	

Section 19 and 1	9			9		9 1	9	0		
Tuesday	Shoot Le	Shoot Length (cm)	Shoot diameter (cm)	neter (cm)	No.of lea	No.of leaves /shoot	No.of new s	No.of new shoots / branch	Leaf are	Leaf area (cm²)
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Irrigation X Hundz										
$I_1 \times HN_0$	12.40 e	12.53 e	1.33 de	1.57 d	15.51 g	17.16 e	9.07 €	9.38 €	4.72 e	4.90e
$I_1 \times HN_1$	12.59 с	12.72 d	1.40 d	1.63 c	16.55 f	18.28 d	9.41 d	11.94 d	4.91 d	5.10d
$I_1 \times HN_2$	12.97 bc	13.07 c	1.50 €	1.69 €	17.09 €	18.59 cd	9.54 d	11.99 d	5.29 bc	5.44c
$\mathbf{I_2} \times \mathbf{HN_0}$	12.48 de	12.61 de	1.49 c	1.64 c	17.98 d	20.32 c	9.47 d	12.63 c	4.80 de	4.96dc
$\mathbf{L}_{\!2} \times \mathbf{HN}_{\!1}$	12.96 bc	13.09 c	1.63 b	1.98 b	18.43 c	22.62 b	9.73 c	12.93 bc	6.28 c	5.47bc
$I_2 \times HN_2$	13.13 ab	13.27 ab	1.67 ab	1.96 b	19.71 в	23.56 ab	10.24 b	13.03 b	5.45 ab	5.65ab
$I_3 \times HN_0$	12.57 c	12.69 d	1.62 b	1.99 b	19.64 b	22.63 b	9.98 bc	12.75 c	4.84 d	5.07d
$I_3 \times HN_1$	13.04 ab	13.16 ab	1.67 ab	2.02 ab	21.65 ab	24.36 ab	10.32 b	13.26 b	5.34 b	5.54b
I ₃ X HN ₂	13.32 a	13.49 a	1.85 a	2.06 a	23.07 a	24.61 a	10.80 a	13.68 a	5.63 a	5.80a
Irrigation X Mixture										
$\mathbf{I_1} \ge \mathbf{M} \mathbf{X_0}$	12.29 e	12.41 e	1.14 e	1.45 e	13.60 e	15.38 e	8.55 e	9.64 f	4.61 f	4.77f
$I_1 \times MX_1$	12.72 c	12.86 c	1.48 d	1.61 d	17.33 d	16.49 d	9.34 d	11.15 e	5.04 d	5.24d
$I_1 \times MX_2$	12.95 bc	13.05 bc	1.61 e	1.83 c	18.22 c	22.17 c	10.14 c	12.52 e	5.27 c	5.43c
$\mathbf{I_2} \ge \mathbf{MX_0}$	12.50 d	12.64 d	1.45 d	1.52 d	16.45 d	18.62 d	8.52 e	11.41 e	4.82 e	5.02e
$\mathbf{I_2} \times \mathbf{MX_1}$	12.95 bc	13.08 bc	1.56 c	1.87 c	18.35 c	22.70 c	10.02 c	13.37 b	5.27 c	5.43c
$I_2 \times MX_2$	13.12 ab	13.25 ab	1.22 ab	2.18 ab	21.32 b	25.18 b	10.91 ab	13.78 ab	5.44 ab	5.64ab
$I_3 \times MX_0$	12.60 cd	12.72 cd	1.46 d	1.69 d	18.49 c	20.07 c	9.29 d	12.16 d	4.91 e	5.10e
$I_3 \ge MX_1$	13.03 b	13.14 b	1.75 b	2.09 b	21.96 b	25.16 b	10.72 ab	13.45 ab	5.33 bc	5.53bc
I ₃ X MX ₂	13.30 a	13.48 a	1.93 a	2.29 a	23.91 a	26.32 a	11.16 a	14.07 a	5.58 a	5.79a
Hundz X Mixture										
$HN_0 \times MX_0$	12.27 f	12.38 c	1.33 c	1.44 c	15.62 f	17.13 Ь	8.51 c	10.53 f	4.58 c	4.77c
$HN_0 \times MX_1$	12.52 e	12.65 d	1.44 d	1.71 e	17.88 ed	19.02 d	9.60 d	11.67 c	4.83 d	5.00d
HN ₀ X MX ₂	12.66 d	12.79 d	1.66 bc	2.04 ab	19.62 e	23.96 Ь	10.41 b	12.56 d	4.94 d	5.16d
$\mathbf{HN}_1 \times \mathbf{MX}_0$	12.34 e	12.47 e	1.34 e	1.59 d	16.29 e	18.30 e	8.82 de	11.35 e	4.65 de	4.86de
$HN_1 \times MX_1$	12.96 e	13.16 с	1.61 c	1.94 b	18.95 e	22.40 c	10.06 €	13.08 c	5.28 c	5.48c
HN ₁ X MX ₂	13.29 ab	13.40 ab	1.78 ab	2.09 ab	21.39 ab	24.50 ab	10.58 ab	13.70 ab	5.60 ab	5.78ab
$HN_2 \times MX_0$	12.79 с	12.91 c	1.37 de	1.63 c	16.63 de	18.63 e	9.02 d	11.34 e	5.10 c	5.26c
HN ₂ X MX ₁	13.21 ab	13.33 Ь	1.73 b	1.92 b	20.81 Ь	22.93 c	10.41 b	13.22 b	5.52 b	5.72b
HN ₂ X MX ₂	13.42 a						11.15 a	14.11 a	5.74 a	5.91a
Values followed by similar letter(s)	within a comparabl	hie crown of means	o do not significantly	offer differ using	o retrieed I SD test at 0	+ 0 05 level				

and Hundz soil \times (Nilefertile + K_2SO_4) on vegetative growth characters of Arabi pomegranate trees.

The effects of interactions between irrigation levels and Hundz soil on the characters of shoot length, diameter, number of leaves/ shoot as well as number of shoots/ main branch and leaf area were found to be significant in both seasons when compared with control treatment of any level of irrigation. The combination between high irrigation level 11m³ /tree/ year and Hundz soil at high rate 10Kg/tree (I₃×HN₂) reflected the best interaction, which gave significantly the highest mean values of all vegetative growth characters in both seasons followed by the application of highest rate of Hundz soil (10Kg /tree) combined with the second level of irrigation frequency $8.25 \text{m}^3/\text{tree}$ /year($I_2 \times$ HN₂)which gave higher significant mean values for all the studied vegetative growth parameterscomparied with 100% of the recommended irrigation level (I₃× HN0) in both seasons. Similar results were obtained with Hundz soil application at rate of 5Kg /tree in combination with irrigation level $8.25 \text{m}^3/\text{tree/year}$ ($I_2 \times$ HN₁) in all parameters with exceptions, for number of leaves /shoot and number of new shoots /branch in the 1st season. On the other hand, the least vegetative growth characters were obtained when pomegranate trees irrigated with lowest irrigation level $(I_1 \times HN_0)$ in both seasons. Such results might be contributed to increase available water holding capacity hydraulic conductivity and water diffusivity of sandy soil due to the application of soil conditioner which reflected to the plant growth (Im, 1982).

The interaction effects between irrigation levels and mixture from Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ treatments are presented in Table (8). The results reflected generally that the application of high rate mixture (2Kg +500gm K₂SO₄) combined with higher irrigation level (11m³ /tree /year) was favorable for the plant trees to express their performance on all vegetative growth characters. Data also indicated that the combination between second irrigation level (I₂) with either application of the mixture at high or low rate (I₂× MX₁orMX₂) had similar trend of effects on vegetative growth characters like trees which irrigated with 11m3/tree/year without Nilefertile + K_2SO_4 ($I_3 \times MX_0$) with one exception for no.of leaves/shoot in the1st season. Reversely, the least level of irrigation combined with the least rate of mixture of Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ (I₁× MX₀) decreased significantly all vegetative growth parameters. This may be due to that K nutrition maintains high tissue water content under shortage of water (lindhauer, 1985). These data are coincided with El-Iraqy et al., (2006) on guava.

Regarding the interaction effects between Hundz soil and Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ mixture on vegetative growth characters, the results in Table (8) reflected generally that the comparisons among the mean values for the vegetative growth characters are affected by these factors appeared to be significant in both seasons. The obtained results illustrated that Hundz soil application at rate of 10kg /tree combined with Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ at higher rate reflected the best interaction treatment since it gave the highest mean values for all the studied vegetative growth characters in both seasons. Theseresults reflected partial agreement with those obtained by Wallace and Wallace (1986)who found that applying soil conditioner (PAM) to organic source gave additive effect on growth of tomato and wheat plants and increasing water holding capacity of PAM treated soil reducing the frequency and total amount of irrigation required for several crops. Similarly Abbas (1999) found that using sulfer fertilizer mixture (Nilefertile) increased some growth parameter of olive seedlings due to it prevent salt accumulation around the roots and sustained the growth and yield of cucumber and onion (Badr, 1992).

2.2. Leaf chemical composition

Data in Table (9) indicated the first order interaction effects between irrigation levels \times Hundz soil, irrigation levels \times mixture from Nile fertile + K_2SO_4 and Hundz soil \times mixture of Nile fertile on leaf chemical composition; i.e. N, P, K, Chlorophyll, proline and relative water content in both seasons.

Concerning the interaction effects between irrigation levels and Hundz soil rates, data indicated that values of leaf N, P, K, chlorophyll and RWC for the combination between highest levels of Hundz soil with highest level irrigation (I₃× HN₂) were significantly higher than those of all other treatment combinations in both seasons. Whereas, leaf proline showed opposite trend, the date also indicated that combination treatment between $8.25 \text{m}^3/\text{tree}$ /year and HZ soil at highest rate ($I_2 \times \text{HN}_2$) gave the same characteristics results when compared with 100% of the recommended irrigation level (I₃ x × HN₀) in both seasons. These results are in line with those of Hoda- Khalil (2005) who found that application of soil conditioner (PAM) increased leaf N, P, K and chlorophyll as well as decreased proline content of olive seedling.

Regarding the interaction effects between irrigation levels and mixture of (Nile fertile + K_2SO_4) on leaf chemical composition, the obtained results indicated that the treatment combination between highest irrigation level combined with the application of Nile fertile + K_2SO_4 at highest rate ($I_3 \times MX_2$) gave the highest values

Table 9. First-order interaction effects of the three studied factors; Hundz soil, irrigation frequency and mixture of (Nile fertile + K₂SO₄) on leaf N₂P₂K₂, Chlorophyll, proline and relative water contents of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

	N9%	9.6	Po's	96	X	K96	Chlorophy	Chlorophyl (mg/cm²)	Proline (mg/g dry weight)	g dry weight)	Relative Water Content (RWC) %	ontent (RWC) %
Treatments	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Irrigation X Hundz Soil												
I ₁ X HN ₀	1.41e	1.46e	0.181d	0.189d	1.05e	1.04e	4.42e	4.48e	13.35a	12.89a	75.61e	76.15e
I X HN	1.46d	1.52d	0.185cb	0.194bd	1.12d	1.15d	4.61d	4.68d	13.26b	12.85ab	76.66d	77.18d
I ₁ X HN ₂	1.52c	1.58c	0,186b	0.195bc	1.32bc	1.376	4.99c	5.05c	13.24b	12.70b	77.16d	77.59d
L X HNo	1.47d	1.52d	0.185cb	0.195bc	1.23c	1.26c	4.50de	4.58e	13.25b	12.75b	88.72c	89.35c
I ₂ X HN ₁	1.816	1.86b	0.188b	0.1976	1.33bc	1.38b	4.98c	5.05c	13.10c	12.64c	89.85c	91.59c
I ₂ X HN ₂	1.826	1.88ab	0.193ab	0.202ab	1.39b	1.38b	5.15b	5.24b	12.93d	12.42c	90.15bc	92.53bc
L X HN	1.826	1.876	0.1906	0.199b	1.33bc	1.35bc	4.58d	4.68d	12.98c	12.46c	89.725	91.57b
I X HN	1.85ab	1.90ab	0.194ab	0.203ab	1.35bc	1.37b	5.04bc	5.16b	12.92d	12.37d	91.76ab	93.72ab
L X HN2	1.89a	1,95a	0.199a	0.208a	1.47a	1.46a	5.32a	5.42a	12.86e	12.32	93.17a	93.93a
Irrigation X Mixture												
$I_1 \times MX_0$	1.29f	1.34f	0.176d	0.184d	0.86e	0.90e	4.31e	4.39e	13.55a	13.08a	73.70e	74.58e
$I_1 \times MX_1$	1.44e	1.50f	0.184c	0.193c	1.20cd	1.20d	4.74c	4.81c	13.35b	12.87b	77,41d	75.36d
I ₁ X MX ₂	1.66cd	1.72cd	0.192b	0.201b	1.34b	1.35b	4.97bc	5.01bc	12.95cd	12.48c	78.33d	80,99d
I ₂ X MX ₀	1.36f	1.42ef	0.176d	0.186d	1.16d	1.18d	4.52d	4.60d	13.47ab	12.97ab	86.86c	87.57c
I ₂ X MX ₁	1.71c	1.77c	0.1916	0.200b	1.21cd	1.22c	4.97bc	5.05bc	13.03c	12,56cd	89.135	91.71b
I ₂ X MX ₂	2.02b	2.08b	0.200ab	0.209ab	1.49ab	1.52ab	5.14ab	5.22ab	12.79e	12.28d	91.74ab	94.20ab
I ₅ X MX ₆	1.52de	1.58de	0.183c	0.192c	1.18cd	1.22c	4.61d	4.72d	13.12bc	12.58c	88.56c	88.64c
13 X MX1	1.926	1.97b	0.198ab	0.207ab	1.45ab	1.43ab	5.06b	5.18b	12.90d	12.37d	92.07ab	94.80ab
I ₃ X MX ₂	2.12a	2.18a	0.202a	0.211a	1.54a	1.54a	5.31a	5.37a	12.74e	12.20e	94.01a	95.77a
Hundz X Mixture												
HN ₀ X MX ₀	1.28e	1.34e	0.176d	0.185d	1.05e	1.06e	4.28f	4.36f	13.46a	12.96a	82.66d	82.80d
HN ₀ X MX ₁	1.54c	1.60c	0.187bc	0.196bc	1,21c	1.20c	4.57d	4.66d	13.21b	12.72b	84.995	84.77b
HN ₀ X MX;	1.87b	1.926	0.1956	0.204b	1.38b	1.396	4.67d	4.72d	12.92b	12.426	86.39ab	89.51ab
HN ₁ X MX ₀	1.42d	1.48d	0.179c	0.188c	1.06d	1.10d	4.35e	4.45e	13.36ab	12.86ab	83.06cd	83,73cd
HN ₁ X MX ₁	1.77be	1.82bc	0.1936	0.2006	1.21c	1.25c	4.98b	5.07c	13.09c	12.63c	85.736	88.26ab
HN ₁ X MX ₂	1.92ab	1.99ab	0.194b	0.205b	1.43b	1.46b	5.30ab	5.38ab	12.83d	12.36d	88.48a	90.50a
HN2 X MX0	1.47cd	1.52cd	0.180c	0.190c	1.10cd	1.14cd	4.80c	4.89c	13.31ab	12.80ab	83.40c	84.27c
HN ₂ X MX ₁	1.76bc	1.81bc	0.195b	0.204b	1.44b	1.406	5.22b	5.32b	12.98c	12.45b	87.88ab	88.83ab
HN; X MX;	2.01a	2.07a	0.203a	0.212a	1.56a	1.57a	5.43a	5.50a	12.74d	12.19e	89.20a	90.95a

of all the studied leaf chemical composition, except leaf proline which showed opposite trend in both seasons. On the contrary, the lowest values between the previously mentioned interaction treatments were found with trees irrigated with 50% of the recommended irrigation level solely. In the meantime, irrigation trees with $8.25 \, \text{m}^3$ /tree /year combined with application of Nile fertile + $K_2 SO_4$ at both higher rates (MX₁) or (MX₂) gave best results as compared with 100% of the recommend level of irrigation $11 \, \text{m}^3$ /tree /year ($I_3 \times MX_0$) in both seasons.

Regarding the interaction effects, between the different rates of Hundz soil and the mixture of (Nile fertile +K2SO4) on leaf chemical components are represented in Table (9), the application of Hundz soil at the highest rate 10Kg /tree combined with the highest level from the mixture (2Kg + 500gm /tree) caused a significant increase in leaf N, P, K, chlorophyll and RWC as well as decreased leaf proline as compared with other treatments in both seasons. Meantime, application of the above combination treatments enhanced leaf components as compared with treatments which contain HN soil alone or treatment without any additions (HN₀ \times F₀) in both seasons, these results may be due to the additive effect between soil conditioners which increase water holding capacity, enhance nutrient efficiency and encourage soil microflora in sandy soils (Azzamet al., 1987). Also Hilal et al., (1997) reported that application of sulfur fertilizer mixture (SFM) to the salinity soil led to reduce soil pH and provide oxidizing conditions for the benefit of plant roots development, with corresponding improvement of water and nutrient utilization. While, Abbas (1999) found that using (SFM) increased leaf N, P, K and chlorophyll and decreased proline of olive. Moreover, Wael (2005) found that increasing level of K and NF enhanced leaf minerals content of olive trees.

2.3. Flowering, Fruiting and Yield

The First order interaction effects among the irrigation levels, Hundz soil and mixture from (Nile fertile and K₂SO₄) on number of flowers /shoot, fruit setpercentage and yield of pomegranate trees are presented in Table (10).

As for the effects between Hundz soil and irrigation levels, the results indicated that the application of the highest rate of Hundz soil 10 Kg /tree combined with high irrigation level (11m^3 /tree /year) gave the most favorable interaction effect ($I_3 \times HN_2$), which showed the significant highest mean values for all the above studied parameter in both seasons. Similar, interaction effect was found between HN soil at both rates combined with either mediumor lower irrigation level 8.25m^3 or 5.5m^3 /tree /year on number of flower /shoot,

fruit set % and yield when compared with trees irrigated with control treatment $11m^3$ /tree /year only $(I_3\times\,HN_0)$ with one exception for fruit set %with treatment $(I_1\times\,HN_1)$ in both seasons. On the other hand, $5.5m^3$ /tree /year level of irrigation without Hundz soil presented the lowest values of the previous mentioned characters in both seasons. The results are in line with Fitzpatrick, (1986) who found that application of Humus is capable of absorbing large quanties of water; thus increasing the water holding capacity of the soil and therefore crop production.

The effects of interactions between irrigation levels and different rates of Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ rates on the number of flowers /shoot, fruit set % and yield of pomegranate trees were found to be significant in the two seasons, as shown in Table (10). The highest level of irrigation combined with the mixture at higher rate (I₃ x MX₂) showed the highest values of no. of flower /shoot, fruit set % and yield, whereas, the control of the lowest irrigation level without NF or K_2SO_4 ($I_1 \times MX_0$) exhibited the least values in both seasons. In the meantime, combination between both levels of the 8.25m³/tree/year mixture with level (I_2) 5.5m 3 /tree/year (I₁) gave almost the same effect on the above characters when compared with the control of the recommended irrigationlevel $(I_3 \times MX_0)$ with one exception for fruit set percent. Such results generally reflected agreement with those reported by El-Iraqy etal., (2006) on guavaand Khattab et al., (2010) on pomegranate. Theyfound that highest numbers of flowers / shoot were obtained when trees received high rate of K combined with high level of irrigation. Furthermore, Badr (1992) found that mixing sulfur fertilizer mixture (Nile fertile) below the drip lines prevented salt accumulation around the roots and sustained the yield of both cucumber and onion.

As related to the interaction effect between Hundz soil and Nilefertile + K₂SO₄, results in Table (10) indicated that application of 10Kg /tree Hundz soil combined with 2Kg NF + 500gm K₂SO₄ (HN₂× MX₂) was favorable for plants to express their best performance on no. of flower /shoot ,fruit set and yield as compared with others treatments in both seasons. Data also indicated that higher concentration of Hundz soil combined with lower rate of Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ (HN₂× MX₁) gave similar trend of effect like combination between higher rate of mixture and lower rate of HZ_1 ($HZ_1 \times MX_2$) on the above characters in both seasons. The results are in line with Wael (2005) who found that fruit set and yield of olive trees enhanced with application of Whereas, Laila – Ali et al., (2009) who found that the combination treatment between organic and inorganic conditioners increased wheat yield.

Table 10. First-order interaction effects of the three studied factors; Hundz soil, irrigation frequency and mixture of (Nile fertile + K₂SO₄) on flowering , fruiting and yield of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

Treatments Fruit Set % Yield (Kg/tree)	Fruit	Fruit Set %	Yield (Kg/tree	Kg/tree)	Flower	number/shoot
reaunents	2010	2011	2010	2011		
Irrigation X Hundz Soil						
I ₁ X HN ₀	45.75e	48.89e	25.17e	27.27e	3.43d	3.71d
I ₁ X HN ₁	50,42d	53.76d	27.07c	29.16d	3.62c	3.91c
I ₁ X HN ₂	58.07c	60.22c	30.87b	32.96c	4.00b	4.29b
L X HNo	49.15e	51.54e	26.00d	28.10e	3.51cd	3.81cd
L X HN	58.41c	60.85e	30.80b	32.89c	3.99Ъ	4.28b
L X HN	60.47ab	62.80ab	32.47ab	34.50ab	4.16ab	4.46ab
I ₂ X HN ₀	53.55d	55.89d	26.73c	28.83d	3.59c	3.88c
L X HN	59.836	62.236	31,40ab	33,446	4.05b	4.35b
I ₂ X HN ₂	63.18a	65.46a	34.23a	35.95a	4.34a	4.63a
Irrigation X Mixture						
I ₁ X MX ₀	44.39g	47.50f	24.07e	26.17e	3.32e	3.61e
$I_1 \times MX_1$	52.78e	55.88d	28.37c	30.46c	3.75c	4.04c
I ₁ X MX ₂	57.08c	59.48c	30.67b	32.76b	3.98b	4.26b
$I_2 \times MX_0$	48.20f	51.07e	26.23d	28,26d	3.53d	3.83d
$I_1 \times MX_1$	59.39bc	61,345	30.676	32.77b	3.98b	4.27b
$I_1 \times MX_2$	60.456	62.79ab	32.37ab	34.46ab	4.15ab	4.44ab
$I_0 \times MX_0$	55.10d	57.05d	27.03c	29.10c	3.62c	3.91c
$\mathbf{I}_{0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{X}_{1}$	58.786	61,626	31.376	33.68b	4.04b	4.37b
I ₂ X MX ₂	62,67a	64.91a	33.97a	35,448	4.29a	4.58a
Hundz X Mixture						
HN ₀ X MX ₀	45.838	48.45g	23.80f	25.90f	3.29e	3.60e
HN ₀ X MX ₁	49.80e	52.63e	26.40d	28.77d	3.58d	3.87d
HN ₀ X MX ₂	52,83d	55.24d	27.70d	29.53d	3.65d	3.94d
$\mathbf{HN}_1 \times \mathbf{MX}_0$	47.47f	50.77f	24.53e	26.63e	3.36e	3.65e
$HN_1 \times MX_1$	58.74c	61.61¢	30.77c	32.83c	3.99c	4.28c
HN ₁ X MX ₂	62.46ab	64.46ab	33.97ab	36.03ab	4.31b	4.60b
HN ₂ X MX ₀	54,40d	56,40d	29,00c	31.00c	3.81cd	4.11cd
HN ₂ X MX ₁	62.41ab	64.60ab	33.23ab	35.30ab	4.23b	4.53b
TW V MV	62.679	67.488	35.338	37.10a	4.458	4.738

Table 11. First-order interaction effects of the three studied factors; Hundz soil, irrigation frequency and mixture of (Nile fertile + K₂SO₄) on some fruit physical properties of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

Treatments	Trunt Weight	igur (gun)	Trun Dangu	- (cm)	rimi mamenti (cm)	cici (ciii)		,
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Irrigation X Hundz Soil								
$I_1 \times HN_0$	256.00 f	250.30 f	7.58 de	7.78 f	8.48 e	8.76 e	268.0 e	286.3 e
I ₁ X HN ₁	266.53 ef	260.43 e	7.61 de	8.11 e	8.55 d	8.87 cd	286.9 d	306.1 d
I ₁ X HN ₂	271.93 e	298.30 с	7.77 d	8.13 e	8.65 cd	8.95 c	324.9 c	343.7 c
$\mathbf{I_2} \times \mathbf{HN_0}$	280.80 d	282.23 d	7.75 d	8.27 d	8.75 c	8.84 cd	276.4 e	295.9 e
$\mathbf{I}_2 \times \mathbf{HN}_1$	281.90 d	305.83 bc	7.97 c	8.52 c	8.80 bc	9.15 b	324.3 c	341.7 с
I ₂ X HN ₂	298.07 e	314.63 b	8.53 b	8.59 c	8.84 b	9.22 ab	340.8 ab	361.1 ab
$I_3 \times HN_0$	297.37 с	305.27 bc	7.86 cd	8.58 c	8.86 b	9.15 b	284.1 d	303.5 d
$I_3 \times HN_1$	317.53 b	326.77 a	8.50 b	8.85 b	8.90 ab	9.25 ab	330.6 b	350.7 b
L X HN2	331.63 a	328.40 a	8.80 a	9.03 a	8.98 a	9.30 a	358.6 a	376.6 a
Irrigation X Mixture								
$\mathbf{I_1 \times MX_0}$	236.97 f	266.13 c	7.39 e	7.29 f	8.28 e	8.66 d	256.9 f	277.0 e
$I_1 \times MX_1$	274.30 d	242.53 e	7.40 ed	8.18 d	8.63 d	8.81 c	300.0 d	319.9 с
I ₁ X MX ₂	283.20 c	300.37 Ь	8.23 b	8.56 c	8.76 c	9.11 cb	322.9 c	339.2 b
$\mathbf{I_2} \ge \mathbf{MX_0}$	258.80 e	265.23 c	7.34 a	7.64 e	8.60 d	8.72 d	278.5 e	298.3 d
$I_2 \ge MX_1$	287.83 c	306.70 b	7.99 c	8.74 c	8.86 c	9.10 cb	322.9 c	342.5 b
I ₂ X MX ₂	314.13 b	330.77 ab	8.92 b	8.99 b	8.93 ab	8.72 d	340.0 в	357.8 ab
$I_3 \times MX_0$	285.87 c	273.00 c	7.53 d	7.91 d	8.61 d	8.89 c	286.9 e	306.3 c
$I_3 \times MX_1$	320.63 b	337.23 ab	8.37 b	9.03 b	8.96 ab	9.29 b	332.6 b	352.9 ab
I ₃ X MX ₂	340.03 a	350.20 a	9.26 a	9.51 a	9.17 a	9.52 a	353.8 a	371.6 a
Hundz X Mixture								
$\mathbf{HN}_0 \mathbf{X} \mathbf{MX}_0$	253.83 f	250.33 e	7.27 e	7.52 e	8.48 c	8.62 d	254.6 e	273.7 f
$\mathbf{HN}_0 \times \mathbf{MX}_1$	283.17 d	269.20 d	7.35 d	8.39 c	8.75 b	8.91 c	283.2 d	303.3 e
$\mathbf{HN}_0 \mathbf{X} \mathbf{MX}_2$	297.17 с	318.27 b	8.57 b	8.73 b	8.86 ab	9.22 b	290.8 d	308.7 e
$\mathbf{HN}_1 \times \mathbf{MX}_0$	260.53 e	258.70 e	7.40 d	7.62 e	8.49 c	8.79 c	261.6 e	282.1 f
$HN_1 \times MX_1$	290.53 cd	305.63 c	7.92 c	8.74 b	8.81 b	9.11 b	323.9 в	303.8 c
$\mathbf{HN}_1 \ge \mathbf{MX}_2$	314.90 b	328.70 ab	8.77 ab	9.11 ab	8.95 ab	9.37 ab	356.3 ab	372.7 b
$\mathbf{HN}_2 \ge \mathbf{MX}_0$	267.27 e	295.33 с	7.55 d	7.7 ab	8.52 c	8.86 c	306.2 c	325.9 d
$\mathbf{HN}_2 \ge \mathbf{MX}_1$	309.07 b	311.63 b	8.49 b	8.83 b	8.88 b	9.18 b	348.5 b	369.2 b
HN. Y MY.	325.30 a	334.37 a	9.06 a	9.23 a	9.06 a	9.43 a	369.7 a	387.2 a

Table 12. First-order interaction effects of the three studied factors; Hundz soil, irrigation frequency and mixture of (Nile fertile +

	TSS %	%	Anthocyanin	anin %	V.C mg.vc/100ml juice	100ml juice	Acidity	V %	Tannins	ns %
Treatments	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Irrigation X Hundz Soil										
$I_1 \times HN_0$	14.81f	14.68f	0.355e	0.363e	23.32e	23.80f	0.96a	1.01a	2.36a	2.22a
I ₁ X HN ₁	15.40e	17.02e	0.374d	0.382d	23.90c	24.10e	0.86b	0.96b	2.28b	2.14ab
$I_1 \times HN_2$	15.66d	17.03e	0.412c	0.419c	23.66d	24.02e	0.80c	0.92c	2.21c	2.08b
$\mathbf{I_2} \times \mathbf{HN_0}$	15.61d	17.74d	0.363c	0.371e	23.38e	24.26d	0.83d	0.94c	2.22c	2.08b
$\mathbf{L}_2 \times \mathbf{HN}_1$	15.86c	18.04e	0.411c	0.420c	23.45d	24.38c	0.80c	0.83d	2.24c	2.09b
$1_2 \times HN_2$	16.33b	18.07e	0.428b	0.436b	24.52ab	24.39c	0.78e	0.81d	1.90e	1.76d
I ₃ X HN ₀	16.07bc	17.75d	0.371d	0.379d	23.41d	24.65b	0.81c	0.81d	1.95d	1.81c
$I_3 \times HN_1$	16.41b	18.16b	0.417c	0.425c	24.39b	24.84ab	0.78e	0.77e	1.89e	1.75d
$I_3 \times HN_2$	16.96a	18.61a	0.445a	0.453a	24.69a	25.02a	0.71f	0.75e	1.80f	1.68e
rrigation X Mixture										
$I_1 \times MX_0$	14.23f	14.80f	0.344f	0.351f	23.84f	23.27f	0.91a	1.03a	2.55a	2.41a
$I_1 \times MX_1$	15.41d	16.31e	0.387d	0.395d	23.54d	24.10d	0.86b	0.94b	2.35b	2.21b
$I_1 \ge MX_2$	16.22c	17.62c	0.410c	0.418bc	23.50d	24.55c	0.86b	0.92b	1.95c	1.81d
$\mathbf{L}_2 \propto \mathbf{M} \mathbf{X}_0$	14.70e	16.43e	0.365e	0.374	23.23e	23.65e	0.89ab	0.91b	2.44b	2.30ab
$\mathbf{I_2} \ge \mathbf{MX_1}$	16.11c	18.60b	0.410c	0.418bc	23.88c	24.41c	0.77c	0.85c	2.13c	1.99c
$I_2 \ge MX_2$	17.00ab	18.82ab	0.427b	0.435ab	24.24b	24.98b	0.75c	0.81c	1.78e	1.64fe
$I_3 \ge M \times_0$	15.38d	16.83d	0.374d	0.381e	23.42c	23.98d	0.85b	0.80c	2.05c	1.93dc
$I_3 \ge MX_1$	16.81b	18.55b	0.417c	0.425b	24.26b	25.02b	0.72c	0.77d	1.87d	1.73e
$I_3 \times MX_2$	17.25a	19.12a	0.443a	0.450a	24.82a	25.50a	0.74c	0.76d	1.72e	1.58f
Hundz X Mixture										
$\mathbf{HN_0} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{MX_0}$	14.31f	15.42f	0.341e	0.349f	23.17f	23.58e	0.93a	0.96a	2.43a	2.29a
$\mathbf{HN_0} \times \mathbf{MX_1}$	15.69d	16.90d	0.367de	0.375e	23.41e	24.41c	0.78d	0.90b	2.18e	2.04c
$HN_0 \times MX_2$	16.49bc	17.82cb	0.380d	0.389d	23.53d	24.72b	0.89b	0.89b	1.92d	1.78d
$\mathbf{HN}_1 \times \mathbf{MX}_0$	14.85f	16.33e	0.348e	0.357f	23.58d	23.69d	0.89b	0.89b	2.35ab	2.21d
$\mathbf{HN_1} \times \mathbf{MX_1}$	16.15c	18.20b	0.411c	0.419c	23.99c	24.54c	0.81c	0.86c	2.20c	2.06c
HN ₁ X MX ₂	16.67b	18.70ab	0.443b	0.451ab	24.18b	25.09ab	0.74d	0.81d	1.85d	1.71d
$\mathbf{HN_2} \times \mathbf{MX_0}$	15.14e	16.31e	0.393d	0.400d	23.74c	23.64d	0.84bc	0.88b	2.26b	2.146
$\mathbf{HN_2} \times \mathbf{MX_1}$	16.50b	18.30b	0.45b	0.444b	24.28b	23.58c	0.75d	0.81d	1.97d	1.83d
	17.31a	19.05a	0.456a	0.463a	24.85a	25.22a	0.71e	0.79e	1.68e	1.54e

Table 13. Effect of second order interaction between irrigation frequency, Hundz soil and mixture of Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ on some vegetative growth of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

Irrigation levels	Hundz Soil (HN)	Nile fertile + K ₂ SO ₄	Shoot length (cm)	gth (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	th (cm)	No. of leaves/shoot	ves/shoot	No. of leaves/shoot	ves/shoot	Leaf Area (cm ²)	1 (cm²)
m³/tree/year	(kg/tree)	Mixture (Kg/tree)	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
		MX_0	12.13 k	12.25 k	1.10 h	1.27 h	8.52 gi	8.19 k	13.00 k	14.46 h	4.45 k	4.65 k
	HN_0	MX_1	12.41 hk	12.57 hk	1.36 ch	1.58 fh	8.81 fi	9.20 jk	16.62 hk	15.42 fb	4.73 hk	4.93 hk
		MX_2	12.65 dk	12.76 dk	1.52 bg	1.85 bg	9.88 bh	10.75 gj	16.88 dk	21.61 ah	4.97 dk	5.12 dk
\mathbf{I}_1		MX_0	12.23 jk	12.35 jk	1.11 h	1.49 gh	8.71 gi	10.42 hj	14.20 jk	16.09 ch	4.55 jk	4.75 jk
50% of recommended irrigation level	HN ₁	MX ₁	12.59 fk	12.74 fk	1.47 cg	1.59 fh	9.46 ci	12.09 dh	17.40 fk	16.66 dh	4.91 fk	5.11 fk
= 5.5		MX_2	12.94 bh	13.07 bh	1.61 bf	1.80 bh	12.07 bg	13.30 ad	18.06 bh	22.08 ah	5.26 bh	5.44 bk
		MX_0	12.50 gk	12.62 gk	1.20 gh	1.58 fh	8.41 hi	10.30 ij	13.59 gk	15.59 eh	4.82 gk	4.92 gk
	HN_2	MX ₁	13.16 ac	13.27 ae	1.60 bf	1.67 dh	9.74 ci	12.15 cg	17.96 ac	17.38 ch		5.67 ac
		MX_2	13.26 ac	13.33 ac	1.70 bd	1.83 ഉ	12.46 ae	13.52 ad	19.73 ac	22.81 ah	5.57 ac	5.73 ac
		MX_0	12.29 jk	12.42 jk	1.43 dh	1.32 h	8.24 i	11.30 fi	15.95 jk	17.26 dh	4.61 jk	4.81 jk
	HN ₀	MX_1	12.54 gk	12.65 gk	1.31 be	1.58 fh	8.57 ci	13.19 ar	17.66 gk			4.94 gk
		MX ₂	12.62 c k	12.75 ek	1.72 bd	2.03 af	10.61 ad	13.41 ad	20.33 c k	24.65 ag		5.14 ck
12		\mathbf{MX}_0	12.35 ik	12.49 ik	1.46 cg	1.59 fh	8.41 hi	11.42 fi	16.26 jk	18.56 bh	4.67 ik	4.87 ik
75% of recommended irrigation level	HN ₁	MX_1	13.11 bd	13.23 bd	1.66 fh	2.11 ae	10.07 bg	13.52 ad	17.76 bd	24.22 ah	5.43 bd	5.62 bd
= 8.25		MX_2	13.42 ac	13.54 ac	1.88 bc	2.23 ac	10.71 ad	13.86 ac	21.26 ac	25.07 af	5.74 ac	5.93 ac
	i	MX_0	12.87 ci	13.00 ci	1.46 cg	1.66 dh	8.91 ci	11.52 ci	17.13 ci	20.05 bh	5.19 ci	5.38 ci
	HN ₂	MX ₁	13.19 ad	13.35 ad	1.70 bd	1.92 ag	10.41 af	13.41 ad	19.63 ad	24.83 af	5.51 ad	5.73 ad
		MX_2	13.32 ac	13.46 ac	1.85 ab	2.29 ab	11.40 ab	14.07 ab	22.36 ac	25.81 ac	5.64 ac	5.84 ac
		\mathbf{MX}_0	12.38 ik	12.48 ik	1.46 cg	1.74 ch	8.77 gi	12.09 dh	17.90 ik	19.68 bh	4.69 ik	4.86 ik
	HN ₀	MX_1	12.62 dj	12.73 dj	1.66 be	1.98 ag	10.43 ac	12.63 bf	19.36 aj	22.58 ah	4.91 dj	5.12 dj
		MX ₂	12.71 ek	12.85 ek	1.74 bd	2.24 ac	10.74 ad	13.52 ad	21.66 ek	25.62 ae	4.93 ek	5.23 ek
\mathbf{I}_3		MX_0	12.44 gk	12.57 gk	1.45 cg	1.69 dh	9.35 di	12.20 cg	18.40 gk	19.26 bh	4.74 gk	4.95 gk
100% of recommended irrigation	HN ₁	MX_1	13.19 ad	13.32 ad	1.70 bd	2.12 ae	10.66 ad	13.63 ad	21.70 ad	27.31 ad	5.49 ad	5.70 ad
level = 11		MX_2	13.50 ab	13.60 ab	1.86 ab	2.24 ac	10.96 ac	13.95 ab	24.86 ac	27.36 ac	5.80 ab	5.98 ab
		MX_0	12.99 bg	13.11 bg	1.46 cg	1.64 eh	9.74 ci	12.20 cg	19.16 bg	19.26 bh	5.29 bg	5.49 bg
	HN_2	MX_1	13.29 ac	13.38 ac	1.89 ab	2.16 ad	11.07 ac	14.09 ab	24.83 ac	27.58 ab	5.59 ac	5.76 ac
		MX_2	13.68 a	13.98 a	2.19 a	2.39 a	11.79 a	14.74 a	25.21 a	27.98 a	6.00 a	6.16 a
Values followed by similar letter(s), within a comparable group of means, do not significantly differ, using revised LSD test at 0.05 level Parameters of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons.	in a comparable group oring 2010 and 2011 gro	of means, do not significant owing seasons.	dy differ , usin	g revised L	SD test at 0.	05 level						

Table 14. Effect of second order interaction between irrigation frequency, Hundz soil and mixture of Nile fertile $+ K_2SO_4$ on some leaf chemical composition of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

Irrigation levels	Hundz Soil	Nile fertile + K-SO, Mixture	N%	8	P %	%	K %	%	Cholophyll mg/cm ²	phyll m²	Proline mg/g dry weight	ng/g dry ght	RWC %	%
m³/tree/year	(kg/tree)	(Kg/tree)	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
		$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_0$	1.13 h	1.18 h	0.176 gi	0.182 i	0.82 h	0.85 h	4.15 k	4.23 k	13.68 a	13.19 a	73.11 k	73.55 h
	HN_0	MX_1	1.41 fh	1.47 fh	0.179 fi	0.188 fi	1.08 eh	1.03 fh	4.43 hk	4.51 hk	13.45 ad	13.00 ad	76.74 ik	74.51 gh
		MX_2	1.68 bg	1.73 bg	0.189 bh	0.198 bh	1.24 bg	1.23 ch	4.67 dk	4.70 dk	12.93 eh	12.48 dh	76.97 ik	80.40 ch
I,		MX_0	1.32 gh	1.38 gh	0.178 த்	0.187 gi	0.83 h	0.87 h	4.25 jk	4.33 jk	13.48 ac	13.03 ac	74.31 jk	75.08 fh
50% of recommended	HN_1	MX_1	1.42 fh	1.47 fh	0.185 ci	0.194 ci	1.19 cg	1.21 dh	4.61 fk	4.69 fk	13.39 ae	12.96 ae	77.51 hk	75.35 dh
irrigation level = 5.5		MX_2	1.63 bh	1.71 bh	0.191 bg	$0.200 \mathrm{bg}$	1.33 bf	1.36 bg	4.96 bh	5.02 bh	12.90 dh	12.55 bh	78.17 gk	81.11 ah
		\mathbf{MX}_0	1.41 fh	1.47 fh	0.175 hi	0.184 hi	0.94 gh	0.97 gh	4.52 gk	4.60 gk	13.48 ac	13.01 ac	73.68 jk	75.12 eh
	HN ₂	MX_1	1.50 dh	1.55 dh	0.188 ci	0.197 ci	1.34 bf	1.36 bg	5.17 ae	5.25 ae	13.21 ag	12.66 ag	77.97 hk	76.21 dh
		MX ₂	1.66 bg	1.71 bg	0.195 ae	0.204 ae	1.45 bd	1.47 ae	5.27 ac	5.31 ad	13.03 ch	12.42 dh	79.84 gk	81.46 ah
		\mathbf{MX}_0	1.15 h	1.21 h	0.173 i	0.185 gi	1.12 dh	1.14 fh	4.31 jk	4.39 jk	13.58 ab	13.08 ab	86.96 ek	86.25 bh
	HN_0	MX_1	1.41 fh	1.47 fh	0.186 ci	0.195 ci	1.16 cg	1.20 eh	4.56 gk	4.64 gk	13.21 ag	12.71 ag	88.77 ci	88.09 bh
		MX_2	1.86 af	1.91 af	0.197 ad	0.206 ad	1.42 bd	1.45 ae	4.63 ek	4.71 ek	12.95 dh	12.45 eh	90.44 ae	93.71 af
\mathbf{I}_2		$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_0$	1.42 fh	1.47 fh	0.175 hi	0.184 hi	1.18 cg	1.20 eh	4.37 ik	4.45 ik	13.50 ac	13.01 ac	85.37 fk	87.45 bh
75% of recommended	HN	MX_1	1.94 ae	1.99 ae	0.191 bg	0.200 bg	1.03 fh	1.13 fh	5.13 bd	5.20 bd	13.00 dh	12.60 bg	86.87 ek	93.22 af
irrigation level $= 8.25$		MX ₂	2.06 ac	2.12 ac	0.193 ad	0.207 ad	1.49 bc	1.51 ac	5.44 ac	5.51 ac	12.80 gh	12.30 fb	92.31 ad	94.10 ae
		\mathbf{MX}_0	1.49 dh	1.58 dh	0.180 ei	0.189 ei	1.18 eg	1.21 dh	4.89 ci	4.97 ci	13.32 af	12.81 af	87.24 dk	89.00 ah
	HN ₂	MXı	1.75 ag	1.84 ag	0.195 ae	0.204 ae	1.42 bd	1.32 bh	5.21 ad	5.32 ad	12.87 fh	12.36 fh	90.74 ae	93.81 af
		MX_2	2.12 ab	2.21 ab	0.205 ab	0.214 ab	1.56 ab	1.60 ab	5.34 ac	5.43 ac	12.61 h	12.10 h	92.47 ac	94.78 ae
		MX_0	1.57 ch	1.63 ch	0.178 தர்	0.187 gi	1.18 cg	1.20 eh	4.39 ik	4.47 ik	13.12 bg	12.60 bg	87.91 dk	88.59 ah
	HN_0	MX	1.81 ag	1.86 ag	0.195 ae	0.204 ae	1.37 be	1.38 ag	4.71 dj	4.84 dj	12.96 dh	12.44 dh	89.47 bd	91.70 ag
		MX ₂	2.07 ac	2.12 ac	0.198 ad	0.207 ad	1.45 bd	1.48 ad	4.72 dj	4.74 ek	12.87 fh	12.33 fh	91.77 ad	94.42 ae
I ₃		MX_0	1.52 dh	1.58 dh	.0184 di	0.193 di	1.17 cg	1.22 dh	4.44 gk	4.57 gk	13.09 bh	12.55 bh	88.51 ci	88.65 ah
100% of recommended		MXı	1.95 ae	2.00 ae	0.197 ad	0.206 ad	1.42 bd	1.40 af	5.19 ad	5.32 ad	12.88 fh	12.34 fb	91.81 ad	96.22 ad
irrigation level = 11	HN ₁	MX_2	2.07 ac	2.13 ac	0.200 ac	0.209 ac	1.47 bd	1.50 ac	5.51 ab	5.60 ab	12.78 gh	12.23 gh	94.97 ab	96.28 ac
	HN ₁	MX_0	1.47 eh	1.52 eh	0.188 ci	0.197 ci	1.18 cg	1.23 ch	5.00 bg	5.11 bg	13.14 bg	12.59 bg	89.27 bg	88.69 ah
	HN ₁	MX	-	2			1.55 ab			5.38 ac	12.87 fb	12.32 fh	04 04 ah	
	HN ₂		1.99 ad	2.04 au	0.201 ac	0.210 ac		1.51 ac	5.29 ac				71.71 00	96.48 ab

Table 15. Effect of second order interaction between irrigation frequency, Hundz soil and mixture of Nile fertile $+ K_2SO_4$ on flowering, fruit set and yield of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

Irrigation levels	Hundz Soil (HN)	Nile fertile + K ₂ SO ₄ Mixture	Shoot	ot	Fruit Set %	Set %	Кg:	Kg/tree
m³/tree/year	(kg/tree)	(Kg/tree)	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
		MX_0	3.16 k	3.45k	41.05 k	44.21 k	22.50 k	24.61 k
	HN_0	MX ₁	3.44 hk	3.73hk	45.11 ik	48.30 hk	25.3 hk	27.40 hk
		MX ₂	3.68 dk	3.96dk	51.09 gk	54.16 dj	27.7 dk	29.90 dk
•		MX_0	3.26 jk	3.55jk	42.12 jk	46.11 jk	23.5 jk	25.59 jk
And of recommended invited basel - 4.4	HN_1	MX ₁	$3.62~\mathrm{fk}$	3.91fk	52.13 fk	56.13 ci	27.1 fk	29.20 fk
20% of recommended militarion rever = 2:2		MX ₂	3.97 bh	4.26bh	57.02 bh	59.05 bg	30.6 bh	32.70 bh
		MX_0	3.53 gk	3.83gk	50.00 hk	52.19 fk	26.2 gk	28.30 gk
	HN_2	MX ₁	4.18 ae	4.47ae	61.10 ad	63.22 ae	32.7 ae	34.79 ae
		MX_2	4.28 ac	4.56ac	63.12 ac	65.24 ac	33.7 ac	35.78ac
		MX_0	3.32 jk	3.63jk	44.21 jk	47.03 ik	24.1 jk	26.19jk
	HN_0	MX_1	3.57 gk	3.86gk	51.14 gk	53.45 ek	26.6 gk	28.70gk
		MX ₂	3.64 ek	3.93ek	52.11 fk	54.15 dj	27.3 ek	29.40ek
7		MX_0	3.38 ik	3.66ik	46.19 ik	50.17 gk	24.7 ik	26.79ik
750% of recommended invication level = 8.75	HN_1	ΜXį	4.14 bd	4.43bd	63.89 ac	65.26 ac	32.3 bd	34.40bd
10.50 OT recommended HTRanon rever = 0.70		MX₂	4.45 ac	4.75ac	65.16 ab	67.11 ab	35.4 ac	37.49ac
		MX_0	3.90 €	4.21c	54.20 dj	56.00 dk	29.9 ci	31.79ci
	HN_2	MX_i	4.22 ad	4.52ad	63.14 ac	65.31 ac	33.1 ad	35.20ad
		MX ₂	4.35 ac	4.64ac	64.07 ac	67.10 ab	34.4 ac	36.5ac
		MX_0	3.40 ik	3.71ik	52.22 fk	54.12 dj	24.8 ik	26.89ik
	HN_0	MX ₁	3.72 dj	4.01dj	53.14 ek	56.15 ci	27.3 dj	30.21dj
		MX ₂	3.64 ek	3.93ek	55.30 ci	57.41 bh	28.1 ek	29.4ek
•		MX_0	3.45 gk	3.74gk	54.09 dk	56.04 dk	25.4 hk	27.50hk
1000% of recommended invication level = 11	HN_1	MX ₁	4.20 ad	4.5ad	60.21 bd	63.43 ad	32.9 ad	34.90ad
100% Of recommendating militarion rever — 11		MX ₂	4.51 ab	4.8ab	65.19 ab	67.22 ab	35.9 ab	37.91bg
		MX_0	4.00 bg	4.29bg	59.00 bg	61.00 bd	30.9 bg	32.91ab
	HN_2	MX ₁	4.30 ac	4.61ac	63.0 ae	65.28 ac	33.9 ac	35.92ac
		MX ₂	4.71 a	5a	67.53 a	70.11 a	37.9 a	39.01a

Table 16. Effect of second order interaction between irrigation frequency, Hundz soil and mixture of Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ on some fruit physical properties of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

	1	0 - 0	2 2	0						
Irrigation levels	Hundz Soil (HN)	Mixture of Nile fertile +	Fruit weight	eight	Fruit length	ngth	Fruit diameter	meter	Fru	Fruit volume
	(kg/tree)	K ₂ SO ₄ (Kg/tree)	(gm)		(ст		(cm)			(cm')
m"/tree/year	,	MX	2010 231k	223.6h	7.32 bc	7.24 0	2010 8.25 h	2011 8.50 h	2010 241.1k	261.2k
	HNo	MXı	267.3dk	233.2fh	7.24 ac	8.00 bg	8.51 ch	8.78 eh	269.4hk	289.5hk
	,	MX_2	269.7jk	294.1gh	8.19 ac	8.11 bg	8.67 bg	9.00 bg	293.6dk	308.1dk
· ·		MX_0	243 fk	239.9eh	7.33 ac	7.33 fg	8.26 h	8.69 gh	251.2jk	271.3jk
50% of recommended irrigation level = 5.5	HN_{l}	MXı	275bh	241.6dh	7.29 ac	8.22 bg	8.62 cg	8.79 fh	287.6fk	307fk
(MX ₂	281.6gk	299.8ah	8.22 ac	8.78 a	8.76 bf	9.14 bh	322bh	340.1bh
		MX_0	236.9ae	334.9dh	7.38 bc	7.29 fg	8.34 gh	8.78 fh	278.5gk	298.6gk
	HN_2	MX ₁	280.6ac	252.8ch	7.66 bc	8.31 cg	8.75 bf	8.87 dh	343.1ae	363.2ac
		MX_2	298.3jk	307.2ah	8.27 ac	8.80 af	8.85 bd	9.20 bg	353.2ac	369.3ac
		\mathbf{MX}_0	250.5gk	251.6dh	7.38 bc	7.44 eg	8.58 dh	8.52 h	257.3jk	277.4jk
	HN_0	MX_1	287.6ek	269.6bh	7.27 bc	8.50 ag	8.81 be	8.78 fh	282.5gk	300gk
		MX_2	304.3jk	325.5ag	8.61 ac	8.88 ac	8.87 bd	9.23 af	289.4ek	310ek
Ŀ		\mathbf{MX}_0	253.6bd	264.6bh	7.31 bc	7.66 cg	8.61 cg	8.79 fh	263.3ik	283.4ik
,	HN_{l}	MXı	278.6ac	323.2ah	7.77 ac	8.89 ag	$8.86 \mathrm{fh}$	9.22 af	339.1bd	358.3bd
75% of recommended irrigation level = 8.25		MX_2	313.5ci	329.7af	8.83 ac	9.00 ad	8.93 bc	9.43 ac	370.4ac	383.4ac
		\mathbf{MX}_0	272.ad	279.5ah	7.33 bc	7.83 dg	8.61 cg	8.86 dh	315ci	334.2ci
	HN_2	MX_1	297.3ac	327.3af	8.94 ac	8.84 ag	8.91 bd	9.31 ac	347.2ad	369ad
		MX ₂	324.6ik	337.1ae	9.31 ab	9.11 ad	9.00 ab	9.49 ab	360.3ac	380ac
		MX_0	280ik	275.8bh	7.11 c	$7.87 \mathrm{bg}$	8.61 cg	8.84 ch	265.3ik	282.4ik
	HN_0	MX_1	294.6aj	304.8ah	7.55 ac	8.66 ag	8.93 be	9.18 ag	297.6dj	320.1dj
		MX_2	317.5ek	335.2ae	8.91 ac	9.21 ac	9.04 bd	9.44 ac	289.5ek	308.1ek
I ₃		\mathbf{MX}_0	285gk	271.6bh	7.55 ac	7.88 cg	8.60 cg	8.89 dh	270.4gk	291.5gk
	HN_{l}	MX_1	318ad	352.1ad	8.69 ac	9.11 ad	8.95 bd	9.32 ae	345.1ad	366.2ad
100% of recommended irrigation level = 11		MX_2	349.6ac	356.6ac	9.27 ab	9.55 ab	9.15 bd	9.54 ac	376.4ab	394.5ab
		\mathbf{MX}_0	292.6bg	271.6bh	7.94 ac	7.99 cg	8.61 cg	8.94 ch	325bg	345.1bg
	HN_2	MX_1	349.3ac	354.8ab	8.86 ac	9.33 ac	8.99 ab	9.36 ad	355.2ac	372.4ac
		MX ₂	353a	358.8a	9.61 a	9.78 a	9.33 a	9.59 a	395.6a	412.3a
VI 1		1			•					

Values followed by similar letter(s), within a comparable group of means, do not significantly differ, using revised LSD test at 0.05 level

Values followed by similar letter(s), within a comparable group of means, do not significantly differ, using revised LSD test at 0.05 level

Table 17. Effect of second order interaction between irrigation frequency, Hundz soil and mixture of Nile fertile $+ K_2SO_4$ on some fruit chemical properties of Arabi pomegranate trees during 2010 and 2011 growing seasons

oil	m³/tree/year (kg/tree)		HN ₀		50% of recommended	irrigation level = 5.5 HN ₁			HN ₂			\mathbf{HN}_0		I ₂	75% of recommended HN ₁	irrigation level = 8.25	I	HN ₂		I	HN ₀		I ₃	100% of recommended HN ₁	irrigation level = 11	I	IN.	HIN2
Mixture of Nile	(Kg/tree)	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_0$	MX_1	MX_2	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_0$	MX_1	MX_2	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_0$	MX_1	MX_2	\mathbf{MX}_0	MX_1	MX_2	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_0$	MX_1	MX_2	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_0$	MX_1	MX_2	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_0$	MX_1	MX_2	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_0$	$\mathbf{MX_{l}}$	MX_2	$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_0$		MX_1
TSS %	2010	13.59 gi	14.88 fi	15.95 bh	14.50 gi	15.53 ci	16.16 bg	14.60 hi	15.83 ci	16.55 ae	14.48 i	15.66 ci	16.70 ad	14.62 hi	16.16 bg	16.80 ad	15.00 ei	16.50 ae	17.50 ab	14.86 gi	16.52 ae	16.83 ad	15.44 di	16.75 ad	17.05 ac	15.83 ci		17.16 ac
S	2011	13.43 k	14.62 jk	15.99 gi	15.63 hj	17.11 dh	18.32 ae	15.33 ij	17.21 cg	18.54 ae	16.13 dh	18.43 ae	18.65 ad	16.34 cg	18.71 ad	18.88 ae	16.63 cg	18.65 ad	18.94 ab	16.71 fi	17.66 bf	18.81 ad	16.81 fi	18.77 ad	18.89 ab	16.96 ei	19 22 ah	
Acidity %	2010	0.99 k	0.93 jk	0.97 hk	0.90 jk	0.85 gk	0.83 bh	0.83 fk	0.80 bd	0.78 ad	0.92 jk	0.72 ad	0.85 gk	0.90 ik	0.81 bd	0.76 ac	0.87 ci	0.78 ad	0.70 ac	0.87 ik	0.70 dj	0.86 ac	0.87 gk	0.78 ad	0.70 ac	0.81 bd	4000	0.00 80
lity	2011	1.05 c	0.98 c	0.99 c	1.03 c	0.95 bc	0.89 c	1.00 c	0.88 ac	0.87 ac	1.00 c	0.92 bc	0.89 bc	0.87 ac	0.85 ac	0.78 ab	0.87 ac	0.79 ab	0.76 a	0.83 ac	0.79 ab	0.80 ab	0.78 ab	0.77 a	0.75 a	0.78 ab		0.75 a
Anthocyanine	2010	0.328 k	0.356 hk	0.386 dk	0.338 jk	$0.374 \mathrm{fk}$	0.409 bh	0.365 gk	0.430 ae	0.446 ac	0.344 jk	0.369 gk	0.376 ek	0.350 ik	0.426 bd	0.457 ac	0.402 ci	0.434 ad	0.447 ac	0.352 ik	0.376 dj	0.384 ek	0.357 gk	0.432 ad	0.463 al	0.412 bg		0.442 ac
yanine 6	2011	0.336 k	0.364 hk	0.389 dk	0.346 jk	0.383 fk	0.417 bh	0.370 gk	0.438 ae	0.448 ac	0.3 5 2 jk	0.378 gk	0.384 ek	0.3 59 ik	0.434 bd	0.466 ac	0.411 ci	0.442 ad	0.454 ac	0.359 ik	0.384 dj	0.393 ek	0.365 gk	0.439 ad	0.470 ab	0.420 bg	0 451 00	0.401 80
V. mg vc/10	2010	23.24g	23.63bg	23.08bg	24.11fg	23.72bg	23.88af	24.16fg	23.27cg	23.55af	23.27eg	23.16ag	23.72ae	23.20ae	23.66ag	23.50ad	23.22dg	24.83ag	25.5ad	23.00bg	23.44ag	23.80ac	23.44cg	24.58ad	25.16ab	23.83cg	2	24./5ac
V.C 00ml juice	2011	23.21g	24.10bg	24.10bg	23.32fg	24.21bg	24.77af	23.28fg	23.99cg	24.79af	23.43eg	24.49ag	24.87ae	23.88ae	18.31ag	24.96ad	23.65dg	24.43ag	25.10ad	24.10bg	24.65ag	25.20ac	23.87cg	25.10ad	25.54ab	23.98cg		25.32ac
Tan 0	2010	2.65h	2.42fh	2.00bg	2.50gh	2.38eh	1.95ah	2.50h	2.24dh	1.90af	2.55h	2.18dh	1.92ag	2.49fh	2.37eh	1.85ae	2.29dh	1.84ad	1.58ab	2.09ch	1.93ag	1.84ad	2.06bh	1.85ae	1.75ac	2.00bg		1.8480
Tannins %	2011	2.51h	2.28fh	1.86bg	2.36gh	2.24eh	1.81ah	2.36gh	2.16dh	1.76af	2.41h	2.04dh	1.78ag	2.35fh	2.23eh	1.71ae	2.15dh	1.70ad	1.44ab	1.95ch	1.79ag	1.70ad	1.92bh	1.71ae	1.61ac	1.91bg	1 70ad	1./044

2.4. Fruit quality properties

The results of the first-order interaction effects of irrigation frequency, Hundz soil and (Nile fertile + K_2SO_4)on fruit physical and chemical properties of pomegranate are shown in Tables (11 and 12).

As related to the interaction effect, highest irrigation level 11m³ /tree /year) combined with the highest rate of Hundz soil (10Kg /tree) or combined with highest rate of mixture Nile fertile + K₂SO₄ (2Kg + 500gm) caused a significant increase in fruit weight, dimensions, TSS, anthocyanin and V.C. and decreased acidity and tannins content. Reversely, 5.5m³ /tree /year level of irrigation without application of HN ($I^1 \times HN_0$) or NF + K₂SO₄ $(I_1 \times MX_0)$ gave the least significant values of the above mentioned characters in both seasons. Similar trend of response was obtained with the combination between 8.25m^3 /tree /yearand HN at higher rate ($I_2 \times HN_2$) in enhancing fruit physical and chemical properties except fruit diameter in the 1st season and V.C in the 2nd one. Similarly, combination treatment $(I_2 \times MX_1)$ or $(I_2 \times MX_2)$ MX₂) gave higher fruit quality except acidity and tannin content in both seasons as comparing with 100% of the recommended irrigation solely. The results clarified the beneficial effect of such soil conditioners in increasing hydraulic conductivity and water diffusivity of sandy soils which reflected on yield and fruit quality(Laila -Ali et al., 2009).

The interaction effects between HZ soil and the mixture from(NF + K₂SO₄) on fruit physical and chemical properties are presented in Tables (11 & 12). The statistical analysis of the obtained data revealed that the treatment combinations had significant effects on fruit quality in both seasons. The Application of 10Kg/tree HN soil combined with 2Kg NF + 500gm K₂SO₄ was favorable for trees to express their best performance on fruit physical and chemical characters. Laila – Ali *et al.*, (2009) found that the combination treatments between organic and inorganic soil conditioners increased weight of wheat grains.

3-Second-order interaction effects:

The results presented in Tables (13 to 17) illustrated the effects of the second-order interactions among irrigation levels, Hundz soil and the mixture of Nile fertile + K_2SO_4 on vegetative growth leaf chemical composition, flowering, fruiting, yield and fruit quality of pomegranate trees in 2010 and 2011 seasons.

Data indicated generally that the differences among the mean values of the various treatment combinations of pomegranate growth and productivity were found significant. The best treatment combination was gained from using the highest irrigation level (11m³ /tree /year) and 10Kg /tree Hundz soil plus 2Kg /tree Nile Fertile + 500gm K_2SO_4 ($I_3 \times HN_2 \times MX_2$) which resulted in the highest mean values for all vegetative growth characters, leaf N P K, chlorophyll and RWC, yield and all fruit quality except leaf proline, juice tannins and acidity in both seasons. Date also indicated that the application of Hzsoil combined with mixture of (NF+K₂SO₄) at both highest levels for each of them and irrigated with moderate irrigation level 8.25m³/tree/year gave best growth and produced higher fruit quality as compared with tree irrigated with 100% from recommendedwater level without soil conditioners (I₃ × $HZ_0 \times MX_0$). Similar effects of interactions between the application of HN₂ and MX₂ under least irrigation treatments 5.5 m³ /tree/year on the previous characters of fruit. Those results could be attributed to the role of organic or and inorganic soil conditioners in mineralenrichment, active organic compounds and bio substances which have the ability to chelate nutrients as available strategic storehouse and in turn reflected positively on development of crop yield and its components.

CONCLUSION

As a conclusion, pomegranate trees growth, flowering, fruit characteristics were improved in some treatments, especially the low level of irrigation when combined with soil conditioners Hundz soil or NF + K_2SO_4 .

Eventually, it can be recommended that for planting pomegranate trees in the new reclaimed area to add 2 Kg/tree combined with 2 Kg Nilefertile + K₂SO₄ under irrigation level 8.25m^3 /tree/year.

REFERENCES

Abbas, W.A. (1999). Effect of some additives on soil to tolerance of olive plants to salinity. M.SC. Thesis, Faculty of Agric. Cairo Univ.

Abd-Allah, M. A.(1997). Management and fertilizer of salt soils under limited water supply. M.SC. Thesis, Faculty of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ.

Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). (1995).Official method of analysis, 15thed.AOAC International Arlington, Verginia,USA.

Azzam,R.R.,Ayoup, Khallafollah and H. Moawad (1987). Field application of polymeric gel, RAPG in desert plantation.Third Internaional Conf. on Radiation Processing for plastic and rubber.Lodbroke Hotel, Warwick, UK Nov.2-4 UK.

Badr, M.A. (1992): Effect of soil management and fertilizer treatments on salt movement and accumulation in root zone and their encountered effect on crop yeild. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University.

- Beaumont P. (1993). Dryland Environmental Management and Development. Routledge, London and New York pp.536:210-215.
- Bres, W. and Weston, A (1993). Influence of gel additives on nitrate, ammonium and tomato growth in a soilless medium Hort, Science, 28: 1005-1007.
- Chapman, H. D. and R. H. Pratt (1961). Method of analysis for soil, plant and root: shoot ratios. J. Hort.Sci.46:121-130.
- Chauhan P.S., Sud A., Sharma L.K. and Mankotia M.S. (2005).Studies on the effect of micro-irrigation levels on growth, yield, fruit quality and nutrient assimilation of Delicious apple. Proc. VII thon TZFTS. Acta Hort., 696;193-196.
- Chopade S. O., Gorantiwar S. D.,PampattiwarP.S.andSupe V.S.(2001). Response of pomegranate to drip, bubbler and surface irrigation method.Advanced in Hort.And Forestry. Scientific Publishers India Jodhpur, 8: 53-59.
- CoHort Software. (2004). User's manual version.Cohort Tusson, Arizona USA.
- Devid, A.G., Elias F., Merce M. and Moshe G.(1999). Sensitivity of continuous and discrete plant and soil water status monitoring in peach trees subjected to deficit irrigation. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 124:437-444
- Edmond, J.B. Senn T.L. Andrews, F.s. and Halfacre, R.G. (1975).The essential elements. Fundamental of Hort. Mcgraw-Hill, Book Company. 4th ed., 131 pp
- El-Aggory E.G. and SH. M. Abd-Elrasoul (2002). The biopolymer, agar agar as a soil conditioner. Egypt J. Agric. Res., 80(1): 1-12.
- El-Hefnawi, S.M. (1986). Physiological studies on guava. Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ.
- El-Iraqy M.A.; K.B.Essa and A.M. Abou El-Khashab (2006). Effect of different irrigation levels and potassium fertilization on 'winter guava'. Alex. J. Agric. Res. (51)75-83. Special Issue.
- El-Khoreiby, A. M. and A.T. Salem(1989). Effect of different irrigation regimes on growth, fruiting and fruit quality of seedy guava trees, Ann. Agric. Sci. Fac. Ain Shams Cairo 34(1)133-321.
- El-Said, M.E.; I.SaadEldin; V.F.Noaman and S. sari El-Deen(1993). Different water regimes Annl. Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, Vol. (31) 11-19.
- Eman, Abd-Ella(2006). Effect of soil conditioners and irrigation frequency on the growth of Manzanillo olive seedlings.J. Adv. Agric. Res. Fac. Agric. Saba Bacha.719-736
- Evenhuis, B. (1976). Nitrogen determination Dept. Agrci. Res. Royal.TropicalInstAmsterdam.
- Evenhuis, B. and P.W. Dewaard (1980). Principal and practices in plant analysis. F.A.O. soil Bull.: 152-162.
- Fitzpatrick, E.A.(1986). An Introduction to Soil Science.2nd ed. John Wiley&Sons.Inc.,New York.pp.108-137.

- Gething, P.A. 1986. Improving returns from nitrogen fertilizer "The potassium nitrogen partnership" IPI research topic No., 13. International Potash institute, Bern/ Switzerland.
- Hilal, M. H., Abdel-Fattah, A. and Korkor, S. A.(1997) .Effect of fine and granular sulphur application on root depth and yield of Lupine in sandy soils. Middle eastSulphur Symposium. Cairo, Egypt. Pp, 207-216.
- Hoda, A. Khalil (2005). Effect of water stress and the soil conditioner, PAM, on growth and chemical composition of young orange and Manzanillo olive trees. M.SC. Thesis. Faculty of Agric. Alex. Univ.
- Hsia, C.L.; B. S. LUH and C.B. Chickester .(1965). Anthocyanine in freestone peaches. J. food, Sci, 30: 5-12.
- Hussein S.M. (2004). Effect of different irrigation levels on the Le-cont pear trees. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Of Agric., Cairo Univ. Egypt.
- Im, J. N.(1982). Organic materials and improvement of soil physical characteristics. F.A.O. Soil Bull.,pp.106-117.
- Kandil, E. A.and U.S. El-Feky(2006). Effect of soil matric potential on Canino apricot trees in sandy soil under drip irrigation. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31(9)5867-5880.
- Kay-Shoemak, L.; Westwood, Ekilpatrick, I and Harris,K.(2000). Exchangeable ammonia and nitrate from different nitrogen fertilizer prepartion in polyacrylamid-treatment and untreated agricultural soils. Biology and fertility of soils, 31: 245-248.
- Khalifa, E.M., Abo-Zeid, M.I.; Nassar, I. N. and Esmail, S.M. (1997). Effect of a sugarcane industry by-product (filter mud cake) on some physical properties of soils. The First Scientific Conference of Agric. Sci. Fac. Agric. Univ. 13-14 December Vol. (1):467-482.
- Khattab, M.M. Shaban, A.E. El-Shreif A. A. and El-Souda A.S.(2010). Effect of irrigation levels on growth and fruiting ofManfalouty pomegranate trees. Bull. Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ. 61:8-16.
- Laila Ali, K.M. Mahrous, S.E. and Ramadan H.M. (2009). Effect of organic and inorganic conditioners on P-release from rock phosphate and its impact on wheat plant. Egypt J. agric. Res.87(1):93-110.
- Lawand B.T. and Patil V.k. (1996). Effect of different water regimes on fruit quality of pomegranate (Punica granatum L.). International Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 14: (1/4): 153-158.
- Lindhauer, M.G. (1985).Influence of K nutrition and drought on water relation and growth of sunflower (*Helianthusannus L.*). Z. pflanzenernahr.Bodenk, 153, 25-32
- Marschner, H. (1995). Mineral nutrition of higher plant 2nd ed. Academic, Prees, London.
- Mohamed R. El-Shenawi& Mohamed E. Moursy (2010). The effect of organic manure and potassium fertilizers on growth, yield and fruit quality of Anna trees. Alex., Sci. Exchange J. Vol.31 No.4404-415.

- Murphy, J. and J.P. Riley (1962). A modified single solution method for the determine of phosphorus in natural water. Ann.Chem. Acta.27: 31-36.
- Saddik M.A. and K.M. Laila Ali(2004). Effect of some natural soilamendment on some soil physical properties, peanut and carrot yield in sandy soil. Egypt J. Agric. Res., 82(2).
- Salisbury, F.B.and Rose C.W. (1985). Plant Physiology. Wadsworth Pub.Co.,USA.185pp.
- Sherin-Attia, A.A.(2002).Studied on growth of olive plants under salt strees. Ph.D. Thesis Fac. of Agrci.Cairo Univ.
- Silberbush, M.; E. Adar, Y. DeMalach (1993). Use of an hydrophilic polymer to improve water storage and availability to crops grown in sand dunes. 1. Corn irrigated by trickling. Agric. Water Management, 23: 303-313
- Singh, T.N.; L. G. Poleg and D. Aspinall. (1973). Stress metabolism. 1-Nitogen metabolism and growth in the barley plant during water stress. Asut. J. Biol. Sci.(26):45-56.

- Smart, R. E. and G.E. Bingham(1974). Rapid estimates of relative water content. Plant Physiol., 53:258-260.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie (1980). Procedures of statistics $2^{\rm nd}$ ed. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc. New York, USA.
- Suelter, C.H.(1970). Enzymes activated by monovalent cation. Science, 168, 789-795.
- Wael A.A. Ali (2005). Improving growth and production of olive orchard under desert conditions. Ph.D. Thesis Agric. Sci., Cairo Univ.
- Wafaa El-Etr, M.A.(2001)Biological weathering of K-bearing miners. Ph.D.Thesis Agric., AinShamsUinv., Egypt.
- Wallace, A and G. Wallace (1986). Effect of soil conditioners on emergence and growth of tomato, cotton and lettuce seedlings. Soil Sci., Vol.141.No(5). *Winton, A.H. and K.B.Winton (1945). Analysis of food. Wiely, New York p:572
- Yadava, U.L. (1986). A rapid and non-destructive method to determine chlorophyll in intact leaves. HortSci., 21:1449-1450

```
%
    /
                                                                               %
                                                                                       %
                                                                      %
                       1/2 +
        (
                           1/4 +
                                                             )
                                                            1/2 +
                                                                      )
                                                                                           1/4+
                                                                                                    )
       /
                   1/2 +
                    /
                                                                                /(
                                                                                                 )
/
                   ½ +
                                                                      )
                     /
                          /
                             1/2
                                                         1/2 +
                   /
```