

# Population Fluctuation of the Main Prevailing Insects on Potato Plants, at El-Nobaria Region, El-Behiera Governorate, Egypt

Mesbah H.A.<sup>1</sup>, Nagda A.A.El-Sayed<sup>1</sup>, Magada Bahgat El-Kady,<sup>1</sup> Nabil A.Hassan,<sup>2</sup>

Elham Abdel Fattah El-sawy<sup>3</sup>

## ABSTRACT

In the present field trials were conducted to survey the main prevailing insect-pests and /or beneficial ones on potato plants at El-Nobaria, district, El-Behiera Governorate, Egypt during the elapsing period from December 2014 up to April 2016. It was found that Potato plants are mainly attacked by a large number of insect pests such as *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer), *Aphis craccivora* Koch, *Aphis gossypii* Glover, *Trichoplusia ni* (Hübner), *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess), *Phthorimaea operculella* (Zeller), *Thrips tabaci* Lind, and *Empoasca lybica* Beg. Besides, numerous species of Natural Enemies particularly, *Chrysoperla Carnea* (Stephens), *Paederus alfieri* Koch, *Syrphus corolla* Fabricius and *Coccinella undecimpunctata* Linnaeus. The major abundant insect pest during both of the first and second winter growing seasons was *Myzus persicae* (31.6 and 106.8/15 plants, respectively) as well as both the first and second summer seasons (59.6 and 28.8/15 plants, respectively). Also, a positive relationship between *Myzus persicae* or/and *Phthorimaea operculella*, with temperature was detected, versus a negative inspected relationship with the relative humidity.

**Key words:** Potato, prevailing insects, population fluctuation

## INTRODUCTION

Potatoes are a highly nutritious food. It provides carbohydrates, proteins, minerals, vitamin C, B group vitamins and high quality dietary fibers. Potatoes gave about 97 Kilo calories per 100 gm fresh weight, which is much less than cereals. The net protein utilization or biological value of potato protein (about 71% that of whole egg), is better than that of wheat (53%), maize (54%), peas (48%), beans (46%) and is comparable to cow's milk (75%) (Anonymous <http://sikkimagrisnet.org/General/en/Potato.aspx>).

Potatoes are the fifth most economically important crop in the world. Egypt produces 2.6 million metric tons of potatoes and exports 411.000 metric tons to Europe and the Arab countries. (Abd El-Gawad *et al.*, 2010).

Potato tuber moth (PTM), *Phthorimaea operculella* (Zeller), (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is one of the serious pests of potato and other solanaceous crops, i.e. tomato,

pepper and eggplant. (Schreiber *et al.*, 2014 Rodriguez *et al.*, 1993 and Mascarin & Delalibera, 2012), It causes serious damage to potato plant leaves and to tubers either in field or in storage. Its larvae bore leaves making irregular mines, leaving excreta, behind and led to a considerable weight loss. In storage, up to 90% weight loss in case of the pest outbreak (Joshi, 1989 and El-Sinary, 2002).

The aim of this study is to detect the insect pests and their predators on potato and their population fluctuation throughout the period from December 2014 to April 2016.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Experimental site and design:

Field experiments were carried out at the research farm of Agrofood Company, El-Nobaria, district, El-Behiera Governorate 60 km, southwest Alexandria city, during the elapsing period from December 2014 up to April 2016. The field of potato plantation chosen for this work was 80 feddan, It was cultivated with the "valor" potato, *Solanum tuberosum* L. (Solanaceae) "grade A" which were imported from Scotland. The tubers were sown at a distance of 20 cm.

The recommended agriculture practices were followed according to the recommendations of the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture. Further, N and K fertilization of the experiments were standardized to suit the type of soil (sandy) as recommended by experts of the Agrofood Company.

Five experimental areas plots (4×4 m<sup>2</sup>) were selected within this field for performing the inspections of the abundant potato insect pests each plot included 100 plants. The distribution of these selected areas was done to enhance the possible determination of the role of cardinal directions on the distribution of insect-pests infestation in the experimented potato plantation.

On field, survey of the pests and predators were performed every ten days by examining 15 randomized chosen plants from each plot. The identification of inspected pests and predators was done and the numbers of individuals were counted at the upper, medium and lower parts of each plant. The collected pest species and

<sup>1</sup>Dept. plant.protect.,Agric.Fac.,Saba basha,Alex.Univ.,Alexandria

<sup>2</sup>plant protect.Res.Instit,Agric Res.center,El-Sabaheia,Alexandria

<sup>3</sup>plant protect.Res.Instit,Agric Res.center,El-Nobaria, El-Behiera

Received November 9, 2016, Accepted November 29, 2016

their predators were identified and counted in laboratory; the fragile species were classified and counted by means of a stereoscopic binocular microscope.

The population of *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess), *Phthorimaea operculella* (Zeller), were recorded by counting the number of larvae inside their miners. Population of aphids jassid and thrips were recorded by counting the number of nymphs and adults while the predators *C. Carnea*, *P. alfieri* and *C. undecimpunctata* were counted as adults while *S. corolla* were recorded by counting the number of larvae.

#### Statistical analysis:

Data of the present investigation were subjected to the analysis of variance test (ANOVA) as randomized complete blocks design (RCBD) and the comparisons among the means of different treatments were carried out using the revised L.S.D test as described by Duncan (1955). Correlation coefficient was calculated to determine the relation between the prevailing temperature and relative humidity and the population of the studied insects.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Survey of insects infesting the Potato plant *Solanum tuberosum* L. (Solanaceae)

Potato is liable to be attacked by several insect pests. A preliminary survey was done during the winter and summer growing seasons along a lasted period from December 2014 up to April 2016 to identify the prevailing insects infesting the potato plants.

From table (1) it can be seen that the mean calculated number of the counted individuals of *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer)/15 plants was gradually increased from the 8<sup>th</sup> of December (5.8) up to the 3<sup>rd</sup> inspection date (11.8) then showed a gradual decrease during the period lasted from 7<sup>th</sup> of January(3.4) to the 6<sup>th</sup> February(0.2) . Table (5) it can be seen that the statistical analysis showed a positive weak relationship between the mean number of the counted *M. persicae* individuals and temperature ( $r = 0.114$ ), versus the positive moderate relationship with the relative humidity ( $r = 0.569$ ).

The calculated mean numbers of *Aphis craccivora* Koch and *Aphis gossypii* Glover /15 plants were low or negligible all over the winter growing season. In Table (5) statistical analysis showed the positive and the negative weak relationship between the prevailing temperature or/and the R.H. and the number of *A. craccivora* ( $r = 0.042$ ) and ( $r = -0.323$ ) in respect.

While, for *Aphis gossypii* there were positive weak relationship, with either the temperature or the relative humidity since  $r = 0.130$  and  $0.460$ , respectively.

Similarly, it can be seen also that the number of individuals *Trichoplusia ni* (Hübner) /15 plants was very low all over the inspection periods of winter growing season. Statistical analysis showed a positive weak relationship ( $r = 0.423$ ) with temperature and a positive strong relationship ( $r = 0.726$ ) with the relative humidity in Table (5).

The mean number of the inspected individuals of *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess)/15 plants was obviously low during December then increased to  $6.0 \pm 1.6$  in January .It reached a peak on 27<sup>th</sup> of January ( $6.0 \pm 1.6$ ) then declined on 6<sup>th</sup> February. Table (5) it can be seen that There was a positive weak relationship between the prevailing temperature ( $r = 0.109$ ), while it was a negative medium with the relative humidity (R.H.%) ( $r = -0.505$ ) and the number of inspected *L. trifolii*.

The main potato insect-pest *Phthorimaea operculella* appeared in low numbers during the period of winter season, indicating a positive weak relationship between the prevailing temperature ( $r = 0.135$ ) and the number of *Ph. operculella* while this relationship was negative weak with relative humidity (R.H.%) ( $r = -0.284$ ) in Table (5).

The calculated mean number of the individuals of the rarely prevailed predatory insects *Chrysoperla Carnea* (Stephens), *Paederus alfieri* Koch, *Syrphus corolla* Fabricius and *Coccinella undecimpunctata* Linnaeus on potato plants were ratherly low or absent all over the inspection periods of winter growing season .,and rarely appeared during the end of January and the beginning February. As shown in Table (5) the performed correlation analysis showed a positive weak relationships between the mean number of the prementioned predators and the prevailing temperature ( $r = 0.283, 0.320, 0.040$  and  $0.040$  respectively) and a negative weak relationship with the relative humidity ( $r = -0.324, -0.311, -0.509$  and  $-0.509$  respectively).

As shown in Table (1) statistical analysis showed that there were highly significant differences between the inspection periods and the rates of detected insects all over the 1<sup>st</sup> winter season.

As shown in Table (2) the calculated mean number of *M. persicae* was gradually increased from 1.4 to 2.2 on the 7<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> of April, respectively. It was absent during the rest of the season .Statistical analysis showed a positive weak relationship with the temperature and the relative humidity ( $r = 0.083$  and  $0.264$  respectively) in Table (5).

**Table 1. Mean numbers of insect-pests and predator-species/15 plants on the leaves of potato plants under the prevailing weather factors during the elapsed period from Dec. 2014- Feb.2015 (1<sup>st</sup> Winter season)**

Date of inspection	Mean No. of insect-pests and predators/15 plants ±S.D (range)										Weather conditions	
	Insect-pests					Predators					Temp.°C Mean	R.H. Mean
	<i>M. posticae</i>	<i>A. craccivora</i>	<i>A.gossypii</i>	<i>T. ni</i>	<i>L. nyctali</i>	<i>Ph. Operculata</i>	<i>C. cornuta</i>	<i>P. affinis</i>	<i>S. corollae</i>	<i>Undecimnotata</i>		
8/12/2014	5.8 <sup>bc</sup> ±3.07 (2-18)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	1.4 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.93 (0-5)	0.6 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.24 (0-1)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	17	58
18/12/2014	6.8 <sup>b</sup> ±2.22 (3-15)	0.6 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.39 (0-2)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	0.4 <sup>b</sup> ±0.24 (0-1)	0.6 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.39 (0-2)	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	15	49
28/12/2014	11.8 <sup>a</sup> ±1.39 (9-16)	0.2 <sup>b</sup> ±0.19 (0-1)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	0.2 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.19 (0-1)	0.2 <sup>b</sup> ±0.19 (0-1)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	15	42
7/1/2015	3.4 <sup>cd</sup> ±1.50 (0-7)	0.6 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.59 (0-3)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	1.6 <sup>a</sup> ±0.93 (0-5)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	12	30
17/1/2015	2.6 <sup>cd</sup> ±0.93 (1-6)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.00	2.2 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.97 (0-5)	0.4 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.97 (0-1)	0.4 <sup>b</sup> ±0.24 (0-1)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	14	41
27/1/2015	1 <sup>cd</sup> ±0.45 (0-2)	1.6 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.39 (0-2)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.00	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	6.0 <sup>a</sup> ±1.64 (2-11)	0.2 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.19 (0-1)	0.2 ±0.19 (0-1)	0.2 ±0.19 (0-1)	0.0 ±0.0	0.0 ±0.0	16	32
6/2/2015	0.2 <sup>d</sup> ±0.19 (0-1)	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.00	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0	1.0 <sup>b</sup> ±0.63 (0-3)	0.8 <sup>a</sup> ±0.37 (0-2)	0.2 ±0.19 (0-1)	0.0 ±0.0	0.2 ±0.19 (0-1)	0.4 ±0.39 (0-2)	15	27
Total mean	31.6	3	3.6	1.2	9.6	1.6	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4		
L.S.D <sub>0.05</sub>	4.604	0.981	1.523	0.422	2.043	0.656	-	-	-	-		

\* Means followed with the same letter(s) within each column are not significantly different at P=0.05.

**Table 2. Mean numbers of insect-pests and predator-species/15 plants on the leaves of potato plants under the prevailing weather factors during the elapsed period from Mar. 2015-May,2015 (1<sup>st</sup> summer season)**

Date	Mean No. of Insect-pests and predators/15 plants $\pm$ S.D (range)															Weather conditions	
	Insect-pests															Predators	
	<i>M. persicae</i>	<i>A. cyathovora</i>	<i>A. gossypii</i>	<i>T. ni</i>	<i>L. trifolii</i>	<i>Ph. operculata</i>	<i>T. tabaci</i>	<i>E. fabae</i>	<i>C. curvica</i>	<i>P. ulmi</i>	<i>S. corollae</i>	<i>C. undecim punctata</i>	Temp. °C	RH			
M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	M $\pm$ SD	Mean	Mean				
18/3/2015	0.8 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.58 (0-3)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.4 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.3 9 (0-2)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	2.4 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.59 (1-4)	6 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.79 (1-11)	1.4 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.98 (0-2)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.8 $\pm$ 0.79 (0-3)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.2 $\pm$ 0.39 (0-2)	14	52				
28/3/2015	0 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.00 (0-1)	0.2 $\pm$ 0.19	0.6 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.3 9 (0-2)	0.2 $\pm$ 0.19 (0-1)	0.6 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.39 (0-2)	4.6 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.59 (2-9)	0.0 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.2 $\pm$ 0.20 (0-1)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	15	14				
7/4/2015	1.4 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.75 (0-4)	0.2 $\pm$ 0.19 (0-1)	8 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.23 (3-15)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.00 (2-19)	8.6 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 3.07 (2-19)	6.8 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.65 (0-13)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.2 $\pm$ 0.19 (0-1)	27	11				
17/4/2015	2.2 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.97 (0-5)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	5.8 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 4.0 8 (0-22)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.4 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.39 (0-2)	11.6 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.08 (9-15)	1.8 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 1.20 (0-6)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.4 $\pm$ 0.24 (0-1)	0.4 $\pm$ 0.40 (0-2)	20	27				
27/4/2015	0 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.00	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.00 (0-1)	0.2 $\pm$ 0.20 (0-1)	0.6 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.40 (0-2)	19.6 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 4.88 (6-33)	7 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.51 (3-11)	0.4 $\pm$ 0.24 (0-1)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.2 $\pm$ 0.20 (0-1)	0.2 $\pm$ 0.20 (0-1)	27	8				
7/5/2015	0.8 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.58 (0-3)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.00 (0-2)	0.6 $\pm$ 0.40 (0-2)	0.8 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.17 (0-2)	9.2 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.28 (6-13)	4.2 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 2.13 (0-11)	0.6 $\pm$ 0.40 (0-2)	0.6 $\pm$ 0.40 (0-2)	0.2 $\pm$ 0.20 (0-1)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	25	18				
Total mean	5.2	0.4	14.8	1	4.8	59.6	21.2	1	1.4	1.6	0.8						
L.S.D. 0.05	1.61	-	5.261	-	1.148	7.459	4.863	-	-	-	-						

\* Means followed with the same letter(s) within each column are not significantly different at P=0.05.

**Table 3. Mean numbers of insect-pests and predator-species/15 plants on the leaves of potato plants under the prevailing weather factors during the elapsed period from Dec. 2015– Feb.2016 (2<sup>nd</sup> Winter season)**

Date	Mean No. of insect-pests and predators/15 plants ±SD (range)											Weather conditions	
	Insect-pests						Predators					Temp:°C	R.H.
	<i>M. persicae</i>	<i>A. craccivora</i>	<i>A. gossypii</i>	<i>T. ni</i>	<i>L. trifolii</i>	<i>Ph. operculata</i>	<i>C. cornuta</i>	<i>P. affinis</i>	<i>S. corollae</i>	<i>C. undecimpunctata</i>	Mean		
11/12/2015	31 <sup>±</sup> 9.70 (15-69)	2.2 <sup>±</sup> 0.58 (1-4)	0.0 <sup>±</sup> 0.0	0.0 <sup>±</sup> 0.0	3.2 <sup>±</sup> 1.07 (2-6)	2.0 <sup>±</sup> 0.89 (0-5)	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	15	44	
21/12/2015	17.6 <sup>±</sup> 4.59 (3-32)	12.8 <sup>±</sup> 6.30 (1-37)	0.4 <sup>±</sup> 0.24 (0-1)	0.0 <sup>±</sup> 0.0	2.0 <sup>±</sup> 1.05 (0-6)	4.2 <sup>±</sup> 1.49 (2-10)	0.2±0.20 (0-1)	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	13	58	
31/12/2015	21.4 <sup>±</sup> 7.14 (8-42)	10.8 <sup>±</sup> 5.78 (0-29)	1.6 <sup>±</sup> 1.36 (0-7)	0.0 <sup>±</sup> 0.0	3.2 <sup>±</sup> 0.66 (1-5)	2.6 <sup>±</sup> 1.64 (0-7)	0.2±0.20 (0-1)	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	16	40	
10/1/2016	4 <sup>±</sup> 1.38 (1-9)	0.8 <sup>±</sup> 0.49 (0-2)	0.0 <sup>±</sup> 0.0 (0-0)	0.4 <sup>±</sup> 0.24 (0-1)	1.2 <sup>±</sup> 0.79 (0-4)	3.4 <sup>±</sup> 0.98 (2-7)	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	16	51	
20/1/2016	24.8 <sup>±</sup> 4.72 (15-41)	2.2 <sup>±</sup> 0.97 (0-5)	6.6 <sup>±</sup> 2.48 (1-15)	0.2 <sup>±</sup> 0.20 (0-1)	0.4 <sup>±</sup> 0.40 (0-2)	0.8 <sup>±</sup> 0.37 (0-2)	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	11	35	
30/1/2016	4.8 <sup>±</sup> 1.88 (1-12)	0.6 <sup>±</sup> 0.40 (0-2)	0.4 <sup>±</sup> 0.40 (0-2)	0.0 <sup>±</sup> 0.0	5.6 <sup>±</sup> 1.43 (1-9)	5.6 <sup>±</sup> 0.81 (4-8)	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	12	57	
9/2/2016	3.2 <sup>±</sup> 0.86 (1-6)	0.6 <sup>±</sup> 0.59 (0-3)	0.0 <sup>±</sup> 0.0	0.0 <sup>±</sup> 0.0	2.0 <sup>±</sup> 0.71 (0-4)	2.2 <sup>±</sup> 1.07 (0-6)	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	13	28	
Total mean	106.8	30	9	0.6	17.6	20.8	0.4	0	0	0			
L.S.D. <sub>0.05</sub>	15.50	9.51	3.16	0.331	2.74	3.16	-	-	-	-			

\* Means followed with the same letter(s) within each column are not significantly different at P=0.05.

*A. craccivora* was absent all over the inspection period of summer season while appeared very low in second period of March up to the 1<sup>st</sup> period of April (0.2). There was a negative weak relationship with the temperature the relative humidity ( $r=-0.044$  and  $-0.437$  respectively) and the number of *A. craccivora* in Table (5).

The maximum number of *A. gossypii* /15 plants were recorded on 7<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> of April (8 and 5.8 respectively) then disappeared until the end of the season. In Table (5) Statistical analysis showed a positive relationship between the prevailing temperature and the number of *A. gossypii* ( $r =0.299$ ) while this relationship was negative weak with R.H% ( $r = -0.164$ ).

The mean number of *Trichoplusia ni* /15 plants was very low all over the inspection periods of the summer growing season. Statistical analysis showed a positive weak relationship between the prevailing temperature and the number of *T. ni* ( $r =0.300$ ) while this relationship was negative weak with R.H% ( $r =-0.340$ ) in Table (5).

The maximum number of *L. trifolii*/15 plants was recorded on the 8<sup>th</sup> of March (2.4) while it was low or negligible all over the 1<sup>st</sup> summer growing season. Table (5) it can be seen that the statistical analysis showed a negative strong relationship between the prevailing temperature and the relative humidity and the number of *L. trifolii* ( $r =-0.639$  and  $r =-0.878$  respectively).

The calculated mean number of *Ph.operculella* was found gradually increased from 2<sup>nd</sup> week up to the end of April (8.6,11.6,19.6) then decreased to reach 9.2 on 7<sup>th</sup> May. The lowest number was found in the second inspection period of March (4.6). Table (5) it can be seen that the statistical analysis showed a positive strong relationship between the prevailing temperature and the number of *Ph. operculella* ( $r =0.668$ ), while the correlation coefficient was a negative weak with the relative humidity R.H% ( $r=-0.426$ ).

The number of *Thrips tabaci* Lind was increased on 7<sup>th</sup> and 27<sup>th</sup> of April (6.8 and 7) respectively. Low or absent in the two inspection dates of March. In Table (5) statistical analysis showed a positive between the prevailing temperature and the number of *T. tabaci* ( $r =0.936$ ) while it was negative strong relationship with R.H% ( $r=-0.551$ ) This agree with Muhamed *et al.* (2016) who found that maximum thrips population was observed at the end of March till mid of April and the correlation between temperature and thrips population was highly positive but with the relative humidity it was poorly positive relationship.

The *Empoasca lybica* Beg was almost absent during the summer season then appear at the end of April and beginning of May ( $0.4\pm 0.6$  and  $0.6$  respectively). Table (5) it can be seen that the statistical analysis showed a positive medium relationship between the prevailing temperature and the mean number of *E. lybica* (0.571), versus with the negative weak relationship ( $-0.355$ ) with the relative humidity and this is agree with Shuaib *et al.* (2008).found that temperature had positive correlation with Jassid while humidity had negative correlation.

The predators *C. carnea*, *P. alfieri*, *S. corolla* and *C.undecimpunctata* / 15 plants were low or nill all over the 1<sup>st</sup> summer growing season. The correlation between the mean number *C. carnea* and the prevailing temperature was negative weak relationship ( $r =-0.339$ ) while it was positive strong relationship ( $r =0.740$ ) with R.H%. It was positive weak relationship between the prevailing temperature and the number of *P. alfieri* and *C. undecimpunctata* ( $r =0.015$  and  $0.360$  respectively), while it was negative weak with (R.H%)( $r =-0.234$  and  $-0.206$  respectively).While the correlation between the mean number of *S. corolla* was negative medium relationship with temperature( $r =-0.510$ ) while it was highly positive relationship ( $r =0.856$ ) with the relative humidity in Table (5).

As shown in Table (2) Statistical analysis showed that there were significant differences between the inspection periods and the rates of detected insects all over the 1<sup>st</sup> winter season.

Table (3) revealed that the mean number of *M. persicae* /15plants was high in the beginning of the season and on 20<sup>th</sup> of January (31&24.8 respectively) then decreased till the end of inspection (3.2). Table (5) it can be seen that statistical analysis showed a positive weak relationship of *M. persicae* with temperature ( $r=0.056$ ) while it was negative weak relationship ( $r=-0.130$ ) with the relative humidity.

The maximum number of *A. craccivora* /15 plants was noticed on 21<sup>st</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup> of December (12.8 and 10.8 respectively) then decreased during January and the first week of February (0.6). Table (5) it can be seen that there was a positive weak relationship with either the temperature or the relative humidity ( $r= 0.207$  and  $0.279$  respectively) and the number of *A. craccivora*.

Infestation of *A. gossypii* started at the third week of December .It increased on 20<sup>th</sup> of January to 6.6 individual /15 plants then decreased at the end of January and disappeared in February. Table (5) it can be seen that statistical analysis showed a negative medium relationship between the prevailing temperature and the number of *A. gossypii* ( $r =-0.533$ ),

**Table 4. Mean numbers of insect-pests and predator-species/15 plants on the leaves of potato plants under the prevailing weather factors during the elapsed period from Mar. 2016-Apr.2016 (2<sup>nd</sup> summer season)**

Date	Mean No. of insect-pests and predators/15 plants $\pm$ S.D (range)														Weather conditions	
	Insect-pests							Predators							Temp. °C	R.H.
	<i>M. persicae</i>	<i>A. craccivora</i>	<i>A. gossypii</i>	<i>T. ni</i>	<i>L. trifolii</i>	<i>Ph. Operculata</i>	<i>T. tabaci</i>	<i>E. lycica</i>	<i>C. cornua</i>	<i>P. affertii</i>	<i>S. corollae</i>	<i>C. mudecin</i>	<i>C. punctata</i>			
12/3/2016	0.2 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.20 (0-1)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	1.8 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.37 (1-3)	4.8 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.38 (1-8)	0.0 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.0	0.4 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.24 (0-1)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	25	12	
22/3/2016	0 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0 (0-0)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	1.2 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.58 (0-3)	3.4 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.93 (1-6)	5.8 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.90 (1-12)	0.6 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.24 (0-1)	0.4 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.39 (0-2)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	18	27	
1/4/2016	0.4 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.24 (0-1)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	1.0 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.45 (0-2)	7.4 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.63 (3-13)	4.4 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.98 (3-8)	0.8 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.37 (0-2)	0.8 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.37 (0-2)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	20	22	
11/4/2016	0.2 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.20 (0-1)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.2 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.2 (0-1)	2.8 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.37 (2-4)	6.8 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.05 (4-9)	2.4 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.16 (1-7)	6.2 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 3.03 (2-18)	1.4 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.51 (0-3)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	24	16	
21/4/2016	0.6 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.24 (0-1)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	4.6 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.81 (2-7)	8 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.69 (4-13)	0.4 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.24 (0-1)	0.0 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.0	0.8 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.37 (0-2)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0	26	9	
Total mean	1.4	0	0	0.2	11.4	28.8	13	7.6	3.8	0	0	0	0			
L.S.D <sub>0.05</sub>	0.58	-	-	0.27	1.77	4.29	3.15	4.17	-	-	-	-	-			

\* Means followed with the same letter(s) within each column are not significantly different at P=0.05.

**Table 5. The relationship between inspected insect-pests and prevailing higo-thermic condition at El-Noharia region, during the growing winter & summer season from 2014 up to 2016**

Insects	Growing season		Winter season		2015		Summer season	
	2014-2015	2015-2016	2015-2016	2015	2015	2016	2016	
	Tc°	r value	Tc°	r value	Tc°	r value	Tc°	r value
<i>Myzus persicae</i>	0.114	0.569	0.056	-0.130	0.083	0.264	0.562	-0.640
<i>Aphis craccivora</i>	0.042	-0.323	0.207	0.279	-0.044	-0.437	-	-
<i>Aphis gossypii</i>	0.130	0.460	-0.533	-0.377	0.299	-0.164	-	-
<i>Trichoplusia ni</i>	0.423	0.726	0.199	0.054	0.300	-0.340	0.228	-0.092
<i>Liriomyza trifolii</i>	0.109	-0.505	0.031	0.421	-0.639	0.878	0.778	-0.784
<i>Phthorimaea operculella</i>	0.135	-0.284	-0.003	0.820	0.668	-0.426	0.371	-0.417
<i>Thrips tabaci</i>	-	-	-	-	0.936	-0.551	-0.970	0.977
<i>Empoasca hzbica</i>	-	-	-	-	0.571	-0.355	0.106	0.029
<i>Chrysoperla Carnea</i>	0.283	-0.324	0.272	0.260	-0.339	0.740	0.305	-0.213
<i>Paederus affertii</i>	0.320	-0.311	-	-	0.015	-0.234	-	-
<i>Syrphus corolla</i>	0.040	-0.509	-	-	-0.510	0.856	-	-
<i>Coccinella undecimpunctata</i>	0.040	-0.509	-	-	0.360	-0.206	-	-

while the correlation coefficient was negative and weak with the relative humidity (R.H%) ( $r = -0.377$ ).

The mean number of *Trichoplusia ni* /15 plants was very low or negligible all over the inspection periods of winter growing season. Table (5) it can be seen that statistical analysis showed a positive weak relationship with either temperature (0.199) or the relative humidity (R.H%)( $r = 0.054$ ).

The numbers of *L.trifolii* and *Ph.operculella* /15 plants were small at the beginning of inspection then increased on 30<sup>th</sup> of January (5.6 to both). Table (5) it can be seen that the correlation between the mean number of *L. trifolii* were positive weak with either the temperature or the relative humidity ( $r = 0.031$  and  $0.421$  respectively) while the correlation between the mean number of *Ph. operculella* was a negative weak relationship with Temperature ( $- 0.003$ ) while relative humidity showed a positive strong relationship ( $r = 0.820$ ).

The mean numbers of the counted individuals of *C. carnea* , *P.alfierii*, *S. corolla* and *C.undecimpunctata* / 15 plants were low or negligible all over the inspection periods of winter season. Table (5) it can be seen that the statistical analysis showed a positive weak relationship with either the temperature or the relative humidity ( $r = 0.272$  and  $0.260$  respectively).

As shown in Table (3) statistical analysis showed that there were significant differences between the numbers all over the 2<sup>nd</sup> winter season.

As shown in Table (4) the mean number of the counted individuals of *M. persicae* was very low or negligible all over the 2<sup>nd</sup> summer growing season then slightly increased at the end of April (0.6). Table (5) it can be seen that the statistical analysis showed a positive and a negative strong relationship between the prevailing temperature and the relative humidity and the number of *M. persicae* ( $r = 0.562$ ), ( $r = -0.640$ ).

*A.craccivora* and *A. gossypii* were negligible all over the 2<sup>nd</sup> summer season.

The mean number of counted individuals *T. ni* /15 plants was very low all over the inspection periods of winter growing season. Table (5) it can be seen that the statistical analysis showed a weak positive relationship between the prevailing temperature and the number of *T. ni* ( $r = 0.228$ ) while it was negative weak with R.H.% ( $r = - 0.092$ )

The mean number of *L.trifolii*/15 plants gradually increased in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and the 3<sup>d</sup> inspection dates of April (2.8 and 4.6). In Table (5) statistical analysis showed a positive strong relationship between the prevailing temperature and the number of *L. trifolii* ( $r = 0.778$ )

while it was negative strong with R.H.%( $r = - 0.784$ ). Doss *et al.*(1992) found that leafminer infestation began with low numbers in December, then increased gradually till March and declined by the end of April.

The number of the counted individuals of *Ph. operculella* /15 plants gradually decreased from first to second inspection dates of March (4 and 3.4) then increased during 1<sup>st</sup> up to the end of April (7.4,6,8 respectively) Foot,(1979) observed that the populations of *Ph. operculella* larvae peak between February and April and are particularly prevalent in hot, dry summer. In Table (5) statistical analysis showed the positive relationship between the prevailing temperature and the number of *Ph. operculella* ( $r = 0.371$ ) while it was negative medium with R.H.%, ( $r = - 0.417$ ).

The number of the counted individuals of *T. tabaci* /15 plants was negligible at the beginning of March then increased in the following three inspection dates then declined at the end of the season. Table (5) it can be seen that the statistical analysis showed a negative strong relationship between the prevailing temperature and the number of *T. Tabaci* ( $r = - 0.970$ ) while it was positive strong, ( $r = 0.977$ ).

The maximum number of the counted individuals of *E. lybica* /15 plants was on 11 of April (6.2) but low or negligible all over the 2<sup>nd</sup> summer season. In Table (5) Statistical analysis showed a positive weak correlation of the number of *E. lybica* and both of temperature and relative humidity ( $r = 0.106$  and  $0.029$  respectively).

The maximum mean number of the counted individuals of *C.carnea* /15 plants was on 11 of April (1.4) but low or negligible all over the 2<sup>nd</sup> summer season. Table (5) it can be seen that the Statistical analysis showed a positive relationship between the prevailing temperature and the number of *C.carnea* ( $r = 0.305$ ) while it was negative weak with R.H.% , ( $r = - 0.213$ ). Khan *et al.* (2015) found that there was positive correlation between the aphid lion and temperature while it had negative correlation with humidity which is in agreement with the present result.

*P. alferii*, *S. corolla* and *C. undecimpunctata* were negligible all over the inspection periods of 2<sup>nd</sup> summer season.

As shown in Table (4) statistical analysis showed that there were significant differences between the inspection periods and the rates of insects all over the 2<sup>nd</sup> summer season.

## REFERENCES

- Abd El-Gawad, H. A. S., S. M. M. Atef and A. A. Sayed .2010. Functional Response of *Chrysoperla Carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) Larvae to *Phthorimaea operculella* Zeller (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) Eggs. Aust. J. Basic and Appl. Sci., 4(8): 2182-2187.
- Dillard, H. R., T. J. Wicks and B. Philp.1993. A grower survey of diseases, invertebrate pests, and pesticide use on potatoes grown in South Australia. Australian Jour.Experi.Agric. 33(5):653-661. 14 ref.
- Doss,A.S.,K.M.Adam,F.A.Herakly and M.A.El-Hamky .1992.Population densities of the broad bean leafminer *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess)and the cotton whitefly,*Bemisia tabaci* (Genn).on protected cultivars.Minia Agric.Res.&Dev.,14(3):787-797.
- Duncan,D. B. 1955 .Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics, 11:1-41.
- El-Sinary, G.H. 2002.Influence of the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) on the mature larvae of the potato tuber moth, *Phthorimaea operculella* (Zeller) under different degrees of temperature and relatively humidity. J Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 27: 4151-4161.
- Foot, M.A. 1979.Bionomics of the potatotuber moth , *Phthorimaea operculella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), at pukekohoe.N.Z.J.Zoology.6:623-636.
- Joshi, S. L.1989. Comparative life cycle of the potato tuber moth, *Phthorimaea operculella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on potato tubers and foliages and its economic loss in yield. J. Ent. Soc. Nepal., 1: 59-69.
- Khan,M., Z. Roshan, and Y. Tahira.2015. Effect of Temperature and Relative Humidity on population Dynamics of predators of cotton pests.inter. jour.of agr.Innovations and Resear.(4).
- Mascarin, G. M. and I.Delalibera.2012.Insecticidal activity of the granulosis virus in combination with neem products and talc powder against the potato tuberworm *Phthorimaea operculella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Neotropical Entom. 41(3): 223-231.
- Muhammad S. K., S. S. Tajwar, R. K. Shagufta., H. A. Ghulam., S. Muhammad, A. Sajjad, S. Muhammad, P. A. Adeel, Su Wang,and H. A. Azmat .2016. Survey on population fluctuations of thrips, whitefly and their natural Enemies on sunflower in different localities of Sindh, Pakistan. Jour. Entomo. Zoolo. 4: 521-527.
- Rodriguez, V. C., Z. R. Cespedes, G., R. Leon and C. C. Lepiz. 1993. The entomological situation of potato in Costa Rica. Manejo Integrado de Plagas; 29:6-13.
- Schreiber,A., J.Andrew, R. Silvia, and W. Erik. 2014. Integrated Pest Management Guidelines for Insects and Mites in Idaho,Oregon and Washington Potatoes.
- Shuaib, M., Khan, S. H., Mulghani, N. A. 2008 . Effect of temperature and relative humidity on population dynamics of sucking insect pests of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.).ENDURE International Conference La Grande-Motte, France.
- <http://sikkimagrisnet.org/General/en/Potato.aspx>

*Syrphus**Chrysoperla carnea**Paederus alfieri**corolla**Coccinella undecimpunctata*

( , , )

, , )

.(

*(Myzus persicae, Aphis craccivora, Aphis gossypii)**Trichoplusia ni**Phthorimaea**Liriomyza trifolii**Thrips**Empoasca lybica**operculella**.tabaci*