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ABSTRACT

Artificial neural networks (ANN) model is used for predicting some soil physical properties [soil bulk density (Bd), available water (AW), infiltration rate (I)], soil spinach productivity (Pro) and water use efficiency (WUE) under three different types of organic matter [Town refuse (TR), Farmyard manure (FYM) and Compost (COM)] with three rates [10, 15 and 20 ton/fed] for each treatment. Multilayer feedforward ANN with 8 neurons in input layer, 10 and 20 neurons for first and second hidden layers respectively and 5 neurons in output layer was trained using a backpropagation learning algorithm. The ANN model was trained with data collected from previous literatures (668 observations for training and 223 observations for testing). The model inputs were [Sand (S), Silt (Si), Clay (C), Town refuse (TR), Farmyard manure (FYM), Compost (COM), Electrical conductivity of irrigation water (EC) and Irrigation applied water (IR)]. The model outputs were [soil bulk density (Bd), available water (AW), infiltration rate (I), soil spinach productivity (Pro) and water use efficiency (WUE)]. Verification of the ANN model in prediction was done using field experimental data which carried out in El Sadat City (Data that ANN model has never seen before). Root mean square error (RMSE) and correlation coefficient (R²) were used to evaluate the ANN model. Validation and testing for the ANN model were done after careful and extensive training. The RMSE between measured and predicted values for soil bulk density (Bd), available water (AW), infiltration rate (I), soil spinach productivity (Pro) and water use efficiency (WUE) were 0.00909 Mg/m³, 0.10528 %, 0.23878 mm/h, 14.28973 kg/fed and 0.26762 kg/m². While the R² were equal to 0.99955, 0.99947, 0.99902, 0.99998 and 0.96883 respectively. The high R² for output parameters recall indicated for excellent prediction of the ANN model for the data has never seen before.
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INTRODUCTION

Artificial neural network (ANN) is basically parallel computational model comprised of densely interconnected adaptive processing units with simulation of knowledge acquisition and organizational skills of human brain. ANN model is made up of multiple highly interconnected processing units named neurons. Being inspired by the natural neuron, an ANN receives inputs which will then be multiplied by the weights (refer to strength of the input signals), followed by computation the output. A very important characteristics of ANN includes that it can learn from the experience (experimental data) and is capable of generalization according to the knowledge that has been gained. ANN has the capability of correlating large and complex data sets without any prior knowledge of the relationship between them. It has become powerful tools for modeling a system that had incomplete or a little understanding regarding its governing law (Aru and Okpara, 2018). The strengths of ANN are that it possesses the ability to learn through the means of a set of training data, capability of generalization and association of data as well the fault tolerance in the sense of handling noise and incomplete information. Also, ANN consists the feature of parallelism which enables computations of multiple neurons simultaneously. ANN is often designed using multilayer feedforward (MLF) back propagation algorithm. MLF network, or commonly known as multilayer perceptron, is one of the most popular neural networks used in the present. In general, MLF network contains an input layer, single or multiple hidden layers and an output layer. To define a MLF network, it is a network whereby the neuron in one layer is connected to the neuron of the subsequent layer, towards the direction of output layer. Typically, the layers are entirely connected in the sense of all neurons at each layer are connected with all neurons at next layer as shown in Fig. (1) (Abdullah and Tiong, 2008).

Fig. 1. Multilayer feedforward network structure

Sorour, (2006) stated that an ANN similar estimates dry matter loses and storage time of stored wheat under
different treatments well compared to measured values. (El Awady et al., 2003) developed an ANN to study the relative of variables affecting chisel plows performance. They reported that correlation coefficients (R²) were over 0.90 during testing process and the width of plow was the major variable affecting draft, unit draft and energy requirements. (Aboukarima et al., 2004) predicted unit draft of tillage machine using statistical and ANN models. The ANN was a MLF network with 11 input and 1 output neurons. The input variables were chisel plow, moldboard plow, disc plow, soil texture, plowing depth, plow width, forward speed, moisture content, soil bulk density, rated tractor power, and plow passes. The output predicted the unit draft of tillage implement. The standard deviations of the errors were 9.38, 6.57 and 8.45 kN/m² for moldboard, chisel and disc plows respectively. Also the R² between the measured and predicted values were 0.95, 0.92 and 0.90 for both the ANN and statistical analyses. The test of hypothesis using correlation coefficient and root mean square error indicated that the neural network predicts chisel plow draft with reasonable accuracy (Aboukarima and Saad, 2006). (Aboukarima, 2007) obtained data for plows in different soil characteristic, width of plow and some operational parameters with the help of ANN model. The variables were depth of plowing, power tractor, forward speed, width of plow, soil texture and water content. The R² were 93%. (Akbarzadehe et al., 2009) used alternative methods of ANN for predicting water runoff and particles splash in soils treated with synthetic geotextiles and bare soils. It was found that the ANN had better accuracy than regression analyses for prediction of runoff and splash. (Gholami et al., 2018) found that the ANN can predicted soil erosion with an acceptable level (RMSE = 0.04, R² = 0.94). (Warmling et al. 2019) developed an ANN model to predict field capacity (FC), wilting point (WP) and available water (AW) the results between the measured and predicted values had RMSE of 0.01, 0.03 and 0.03 m³/m³ and R² were 0.99, 0.92 and 0.83 for FC, WP and AW, respectively.

This study aims to achieve the following objectives:

1-Construct the optimal structure of an ANN to predict some soil physical properties [soil bulk density (Bd), available water (AW), infiltration rate (I)] soil productivity and water use efficiency under three different types of organic matter [town refuse (TR), farmyard manure (FYM) and compost (COM)] with three rates [10, 15 and 20 ton/fed] for each treatment.

2-Verification of the ANN model in prediction using field experimental data (Data that an ANN model has never seen before) which carried out in El Sadat City.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experimental

A field experiment was conducted on sandy soil at Sadat City, Menoufia Governorate in a private farm. Seeds of spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.) were sown on 19th October in winter season 2019 under drip irrigation system. The recommended doses of NPK mineral fertilizers were as follows phosphorus as 200 kg/fed of calcium superphosphate (15.5% P₂O₅) which added during soil preparation, potassium as 70 kg/fed of potassium sulphate (48-50% K₂O) were added three weeks after seeding, whereas nitrogen as 250 kg/fed of ammonium sulphate (20.5%N) 50 kg which added during soil preparing and the rest (200 kg) was added in two equal portions, three and five weeks after sowing.

Soil organic matter [town refuse (TR), farmyard manure (FYM) and compost (COM)] with three rates [10, 15 and 20 ton/fed] for each treatment were mixed in 15 cm soil depth during soil preparing. Each treatment consists of 3 plots, each one was 4 m². Data of soil analyses according to (Klute, 1986) were tabulated in Table (1). Source of irrigation water used from a well, which has EC, 2.12 dS/m.

Soil physical properties determinations.

Soil bulk density.

\[ \text{Bd} = \frac{M}{V} \]

where:

\[ \text{Bd} = \text{The soil bulk density (Mg/m}^3\text{)} \]
\[ M = \text{The mass of soil (Mg)} \]
\[ V = \text{Soil volume (m}^3\text{)} \]

Infiltration rate (Philip, 1957).

\[ D = S \cdot t^{0.5} + A \]
\[ I = 0.5 \cdot S \cdot t^{0.5} + A \]

where:

\[ D = \text{Cumulative infiltration depth (mm)} \]
\[ I = \text{Infiltration rate (mm/h)} \]
\[ t = \text{Time (h)} \]
\[ A \text{and } S \text{ = constants.} \]

Water Use Efficiency (Nobel, 1980).

\[ \text{WUE} = \frac{Y}{IR} \]

where:

\[ \text{WUE} = \text{water use efficiency (kg/m}^3\text{)} \]
\[ Y = \text{total crop yield (kg/fed)} \]
\[ \text{IR} = \text{total amount of irrigation water applied (m}^3\text{/fed).} \]
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Table 1. Some physical and chemical properties of surface soil sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil sample (cm)</th>
<th>Course sand %</th>
<th>Fine sand %</th>
<th>Silt %</th>
<th>Clay %</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Bulk density (Mg/m³)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC (dS/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>15.02</td>
<td>77.89</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>Sandy</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ANN model

To construct ANN model. First, conceptualize the inputs and outputs to be used. Second, gathering data to be used for training (learning) the model. Third, create the ANN model. Fourth, test the model with some cases. Finally, validate the model or examine how the ANN model performs with the test data. The aim of the learning procedure is to determine the optimal set of weights and biases that produce the correct output for any input. The output of the network is compared with the target response to produce an error. Once the ANN is properly trained, it can be generalized to similar situations that are unprecedented. ANNs usually consist of three layers (input layer, hidden layers, output layer) (Noor et al., 2016). A flowchart of the ANN modeling procedure is shown in Fig. (2).

Fig. 2. Neural network modelling procedure flowchart (Noor et al., 2016)

The ANN model backpropagation with two hidden layers were used in this study. This type of ANN is a nonlinear data transformation structure consisting of input and output nodes connected to hidden nodes by adaptable coefficients. The hidden nodes depend on the complexity of the underlying problem and is determined empirically by calibrating ANN with different numbers of hidden nodes. Both the hidden and output nodes contain transfer function of sigmoid that provides the ANN with nonlinear capabilities. The accuracy of the network was evaluated by the RMSE and R² (Abdullah and Tiong, 2008). R² is represents the actual data sets, it can vary from 0 to 1. An R² value close to 1 indicates that the ANN model perfectly predicts the output.

\[ \text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2} \]

where:
- \( n \) = number of data points during testing process
- \( x_i \) = value from measured
- \( y_i \) = value from predicted

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil bulk density (Bd)

Soil bulk density (Bd) values were decreased as a result for applied different kinds and rates of organic matter (OM) to the soil as illustrated in Table (2). The different OM reduced the Bd to 1.57, 1.53 and 1.50 Mg/m³ with town refuse (TR) treatments, the Bd reached to 1.46, 1.44 and 1.39 Mg/m³ with FYM treatments and the Bd reduced to 1.33, 1.32 and 1.28 Mg/m³ with compost treatments from 1.5 Mg/m³ measured in control plots under three rates 10, 15 and 20 ton/fed respectively. The Bd decreased under different and rates of OM is due to a dilution effect resulting from the mixing of the applied OM with the more dense soil mineral fractions (Aranyos et al., 2016). In addition, OM treatments reduced the Bd of soil to its least, as it promotes total porosity because bacterial glue acts as soil particle binding agent. These binding agents increasing soil aggregate and cause to decrease soil Bd. Moreover, the reduction in Bd may be related to the mixing of soil with less dense organic material or by enhancing the fine particle aggregation leading to the higher pore volume in the soil as well as a decreased particle density. Thus increase in applied compost long period may cause a significant decrease in soil bulk density.
### Table 2. Effect of different types and rates of organic matter on some soil physical properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>rate</th>
<th>Bulk density (Mg/m³)</th>
<th>Field capacity (%)</th>
<th>Wilting point (%)</th>
<th>Available water (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative infiltration (mm)</th>
<th>Infiltration rate (mm/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>183.96</td>
<td>40.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town refuse (TR)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>145.37</td>
<td>31.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>136.33</td>
<td>28.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>128.84</td>
<td>25.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmyard manure (FYM)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>135.21</td>
<td>28.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>110.16</td>
<td>23.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>103.16</td>
<td>19.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost (COM)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>96.27</td>
<td>22.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>91.94</td>
<td>17.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>20.93</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>81.56</td>
<td>15.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Soil available water (AW)

The influenced of addition different organic matter (OM) types on the soil available water (AW), has given in Table (2) and Fig. (3). It is evident that, AW increased with increasing rates of TR, FYM and COM. AW was increased by 14, 27 and 36% with TR treatments, 28, 40 and 47% with FYM treatments and 50, 58 and 64% with COM treatments relatively to control under three applications rates 10, 15 and 20 ton/fed, respectively. The increased efficiency of water hold capacity at soil field capacity is largely the result of an increase in micro pores. At soil wilting point pores filled with air, and the AW is largely determined by the Specific surface area (SSA) of a soil and the water films thickness on these surfaces. (SSA) of a soil for sandy soils much less than other soils types, in higher tensions, hold much less water. However, with the increase OM addition (SSA) of a soil increases resulting in increased AW at wilting point. This increase in AW might be attributed to increased number of micro pores and decreased number of macro pores as compared with the control (Wanniarachchi et al., 2019). increase addition of different OM resulted in increased plant AW in soil over control, indicating improvement in soil physical and structure quality.

### Infiltration rate (I)

Table (2) and Fig. (3) shows that the addition of TR 10, 15 and 20 ton/fed lead to, infiltration rate (I) values decreased to 31.49, 28.62 and 25.95 mm/h, with reduction of 23, 30 and 36%. In addition, (I) values decreased to 28.39, 23.91 and 19.68 mm/h with addition of FYM 10, 15 and 20 ton/fed with reduction of 30, 41 and 52%. Also, data referred that (I) decreased to 22.76, 17.71 and 15.42 mm/h by application of COM 10, 15 and 20 ton/fed, with reduction of 44, 57 and 62% as compared with control, respectively. Data in Table (2) showed that the application of TR, FYM and COM in different rates decreased cumulative infiltration compared to control, from 184 mm in control to 82 mm in 20 ton/fed COM treatment, this resulted data agreement with (Aranyos et al., 2016). Application of OM greatly decreased the soil ability to conduct water, such effect attributed to the modification of pore size distribution, decreasing the large pores (drainable pores), increasing the fine pores (water retention pores) and consequently decreasing the rate of water movement. The decreasing in cumulative infiltration attributed to the role of OM in increasing soil aggregate and then decreased the pore space. For OM, the decreasing effect may be attributed to more aggregation of mixed soil then restrict the water flow in soil or due to the increase the fine pores that responsible for decrease in the (I) of treatments compared to control.

### Soil productivity and Water use efficiency

The sandy soil productivity is most limited by their low soil available water (AW), fertility and increased losses of deep percolation. Thus, the management and sustainable of these soil should be achieved by increasing its available water (AW), fertility and reducing losses due to deep percolation by application of organic matter (OM). Data presented in Table (3) illustrated the soil spinach productivity under different addition of OM. The added TR, FYM and COM to the studied sandy soil had effects on soil spinach productivity (Tofah, 2015 and Faiyad et al., 2019). Data in Table (3) and Fig. (4) showed that spinach yield weight in kg/fed increased with increasing rates of different OM applications. The lowest value of productivity was 3105 kg/fed of control, meanwhile the highest value was 9878 kg/fed of soil treated with COM 20 ton/fed.
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Fig. 3. Effect of different types and rates of organic matter on available water and infiltration rate

Table 3. Effect of different types and rates of organic matter on soil spinach productivity and WUE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>rate</th>
<th>Soil spinach productivity (kg/fed)</th>
<th>WUE (kg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3105</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3747</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4402</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town refuse</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4919</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5418</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6084</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmyard manure</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5483</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6084</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6556</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6556</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7781</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9878</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Effect of different types and rates of organic matter on soil spinach productivity and WUE
Values of the water use efficiency (WUE), which reflect the relation between the production and the total seasonal water used are presented in Table (3) and Fig. (4). Data show that treating sandy soil with tested OM led to an increase in WUE by spinach yield in kg per each cubic meter of irrigation water used. Addition of TR, FYM and COM had a superior effect on WUE of spinach yield. The WUE values under TR treatments were 2.10, 2.46 and 2.75 kg/m³, with increasing rate to 17, 29 and 37%. In addition, WUE values under FYM treatments were 2.53, 3.07 and 3.40 kg/m³, with increasing rate to 31, 43 and 49%. Also, data referred that WUE values under COM treatments were 3.67, 4.35 and 5.52 kg/m³, with increasing rate to 53, 60 and 68% as compared to control under 10, 15 and 20 ton/fed respectively. OM can indirectly improve soil structure by increasing microbial activity and thus production of microbial slimes, fungal hyphae and/or roots bind aggregates together. OM is a significantly nutrients reservoir and can retain nutrients to plants in available form (Pragña et al., 2017). Other beneficial effects of OM include increasing plant water availability, decreasing leaching of nutrients, reducing erosion and evaporation and prevention of plants diseases. Further, OM can act as a long-term slow release for fertilizer and provide spinach yield by their needs of irrigation water without any stress.

ANN model

The data (891 observations) from literature were separated into two groups. 75% of the data (668 observations) were set for training, and 25% (223 observations) for testing. Statistical measures for the entire collected dataset are presented in Table (4). The inputs were [sand (S), silt (Si), clay (C), town refuse (TR), farmyard manure (FYM), compost (COM), Electrical conductivity of irrigation water (EC), irrigation water applied (IR)] for prediction of [soil bulk density (Bd), available water (AW), infiltration rate (I), soil spinach productivity (Pro) and water use efficiency (WUE)]. The data used in this study Table (4) has a wide range of soil particle size distribution, with sand content ranging from 66.39 to 95.62%, silt content ranging from 2.52 to 19.72% and clay content ranging from 1.37 to 16.50%. Also, differ in the kind of organic matter addition [TR, FYM, COM] and differ rates ranging from 0 to 20 ton/fed. EC of irrigation water (EC) ranging from 1.33 to 4.42 dS/m. Irrigation water applied (IR) ranging from 1698 to 1867 m³/fed.

Several ANN models were trained with various design parameters including number of hidden layers and number of nodes in each hidden layer. The selection of optimum model was based on minimizing the difference between the ANN predicted and measured values outputs Fig (5).

The best model consisted of hidden layers with 10 and 20 nodes in the first and second hidden layer. The architecture of the developed ANN model is depicted in Fig.(6).

The RMSE decreased with increasing of learning iterations for 5 outputs. The training network gave achieved the best results at 100,000 training runs with RMSE at 0.0298 and R² at 0.9879 Fig.(5). Also the ANN model was tested with testing data set (223 observations) where RMSE and R² equals 0.0335 and 0.9830 respectively. According to these results, outcomes were acceptable during the training and testing stages. After network training and optimization, we carried out the verification stage for the optimized network. This was conducted through the comparison between the measured values (Data that an ANN model has never seen before) from field experimental and the predicted values from ANN model, and the results are shown in Figs. (7-11).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>66.39</td>
<td>95.62</td>
<td>85.49</td>
<td>9.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>19.72</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>5.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town refuse (ton/fed)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmyard manure (ton/fed)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost (ton/fed)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC of irrigation water (dS/m)</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation water applied (m³/fed)</td>
<td>1698</td>
<td>1867</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>35.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 5. Network definition for ANN model

Fig. 6. The architecture of the developed ANN model

Fig. 7. Measured and predicted ANN model for bulk density under different treatments
Fig. 8. Measured and predicted ANN model for available water under different treatments

Fig. 9. Measured and predicted ANN model for infiltration rate under different treatments

Fig. 10. Measured and predicted ANN model for soil spinach productivity under different treatments

Fig. 11. Measured and predicted ANN model for WUE under different treatments
From Table (5) the RMSE between measured and predicted for Bd, AW, I, Pro and WUE were 0.00909 Mg/m³, 0.10528 %, 0.23878 mm/h, 14.28973 kg/fed and 0.26762 kg/m³. While the R² were equal to 0.99955, 0.99947, 0.99902, 0.99998 and 0.96883 respectively (Warmling et al., 2019 and Al-Janobi et al., 2020). The high correlation coefficient for outputs parameters recall indicated for excellent prediction of ANN model for data has never seen before.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, ANN model was developed to predict some soil physical properties [soil bulk density, available water, infiltration rate], soil productivity and water use efficiency under three different kinds of organic matter [town refuse, farmyard manure and compost] with three rates [10, 15 and 20 ton/fed] for each treatment. The ANN model inputs were [sand, silt, clay, town refuse, farmyard manure, compost, Ec of irrigation water, irrigation applied water]. The architecture of optimal ANN model consisted of two hidden layers with 10 and 20 nodes in the first and the second hidden layers respectively. After network training and optimization, we carried out the verification stage for the optimized network. This was conducted through the comparison between the measured values (Data that an ANN model has never seen before) from field experimental and the predicted values from ANN model. The RMSE between measured and predicted for soil bulk density, available water, infiltration rate, soil productivity and water use efficiency were 0.00909 Mg/m³, 0.10528 %, 0.23878 mm/h, 14.28973 kg/fed and 0.26762 kg/m³. While the R² were equal to 0.99955, 0.99947, 0.99902, 0.99998 and 0.96883 respectively. The high correlation coefficient for parameters outputs recall indicate for excellent prediction of ANN model for data has never seen before.
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الملخص العربي
التنبؤ بالنتاجية التربية نتيجة اضافة المواد العضوية باستخدام الشبكات العصبية

التنبؤ بانتاجية التربة نتيجة اضافة المواد العضوية باستخدام الشبكات العصبية الاصطناعية (ANN)

استخدام نموذج الشبكات العصبية الاصطناعية (ANN) للتنبؤ ببعض خواص التربة الطبيعية (الكثافة الظاهرية، الماء المسر، معدل الرشح) ونتاجية التربة من السبائح وكفاءة استخدام المياه. تم استخدام ثلاث أنواع مختلفة من المادة العضوية (مخلفات المدن، مخلفات المزرعة، الكمبيوتر) بتلات معدلات اضافة (6، 10، 15 طن/فدان) لكل معاملة. استخدمت الشبكة العصبية الاصطناعية متعددة الطبقات ذات التغذية العكاسية حيث تتكون من 8 عصبونات في طبقة المدخلات، 10 عصبونات في الطبقة المخفية الأولى، 20 عصبونات في الطبقة المخفية الثانية و 5 عصبونات في الطبقة المخرجات. تم تدريبا وتقييم واختبار الشبكة العصبية الاصطناعية باستخدام مسح من الدراسات السابقة (18 مشاهدة للتدريب و21 مشاهدة للاختبار). كانت المدخلات للشبكة العصبية الاصطناعية هي (نسبة الرمل، نسبة السILT، نسبة الطين، مخلفات المدن، مخلفات المزرعة، الكمبيوتر، ملوحة مياه الرى، كمية المياه المضافة). وكانت خروجات الشبكة العصبية الاصطناعية هي (الكثافة الظاهرية، الماء المسر،